

Mich. 8 Jacobi.

In Communi Banco.

Baten's Case.

Henry Baten, and Elizabeth his Wife brought a *quod permittat* against George Sampson, to prostrate a House in the Parish of St. Clements Danes without Temple Bar, London, which the said George wrongfully, and without Judgment had built *ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nuper Johannis Pleader, & modo præd' Henrici & Eliz. in jure ipsius Eliz. &c.* And declared that the said John Pleader was seised of a Messuage in the Strand in the said Parish in Fee, and so seised the said George, *ult' Octob. anno 41 Eliz.* wrongfully, and without Judgment (a) erected upon his Freehold a House, so near the said Messuage *nuper præd' Johannis Pleader & modo ipsorum Henrici & Eliz. sic quod Orientalis pars ejusdem domus ipsius Georgii (b) superpendet, Anglice, doth jut over* the said Messuage late of the said John Pleader, and now of the said Henry and Elizabeth in latitudine 17 Inches, and in longitudine 17 Feet, *ad nocumentum liberi tenementi ipsorum Henrici & Eliz. in eadem, &c.* to their Damage of 100 l. upon which the Defendant demurred in Law. And in this Case 3 Points were resolved. 1. That it was not necessary to (c) shew how the Plaintiffs had the Estate of John Pleader in the said House to which the Nufance is done, for so have always been the Forms of Actions upon the Case, and the Declarations upon them in such Cases: And so was it adjudged and affirmed in a Writ of Error, as appears by the Record (which agrees with this Case) in *Penruddock's Case in the fifth Part of my Reports f. 100. b. 2.* It was objected, That there was Variance between the Writ and the Declaration in this Case, because the Writ was (d) *levavit, &c. ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nuper Johannis Pleader, & modo præd'*

(a) 3 Inst. 201,
202.
Godb. 233.
(b) 1 Brownl. 4.

(c) 1 Brownl. 4.
Doctrin. pl. 87.
1 Rol. Rep. 394.

(d) Godb. 233.
2 Inst. 406.

præd' Hen. & Eliz. and the Declaration was levavit, &c. domum, &c. tam prope Messuag' præd' Hen. & Eliz. sic qd' Orientalis pars, &c. superpendet, Anglice, doth jut over, præd' messuag' nup' præd' Johan. Pleader, & modo ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. &c. ad nocumentum liberi tenementi ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. in eadem, so that the Writ is, *ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nup' Joh. Pleader, & modo of the Pl.'s*, and the Declaration is, *ad nocument' liberi tenementi ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. and so Variance; & non allocatur*, for the Pl's shew in their Declaration (a) that the Erection was in the Time of *J. Pleader, &c.* which agrees with the Writ, because the Erection was *ad nocumentum Joh. Pleader*, and the Conclusion *ad nocumentum* of the Pls. is necessary; for otherwise they can't maintain an Action, nor demand Damages. 3. It was objected, That the Pls. have declared generally, *ad nocumentum*, and have not assigned any Nuisance in certain, *sc.* That the (b) Rain fell from the said House newly built, upon the Pl.'s House, or that the Windows are stopped, by which he loses the Light, &c. as in (c) 4 *Aff.* 3 & 4 *E. 3. 36. a. b.* (d) *Richard de Dalby's Case*, the Pl. in the *quod permittat* shewed the Manner of the Nuisance, *sc.* when the Smoke entred into the said Houses, so that no Man could live there. So in 18 *E. 3. 22. b.* (e) A Man brought a Writ of Nuisance of a House levied to his Freehold, and declared that where he had a House, and under his House had a Place which contained so much in length, and so much in breadth, by which the Water used to descend from his House and pass, there the Def. had built a House above the Spout, so that the Water and Drops of Rain could not fall as they ought, but fell upon the Walls of his House, whereby the Timber of his House perished. So in 32 *Aff.* 2. In *Affise of Nuisance quare divertit (f) cursum aque, &c.* and assigned that he made a Trench cross a River which came to the Pl.'s Mill, so that it was misturned, insomuch that where the Mill used to grind 3 Quarters, &c. it could now grind but a Bushel, and also that the said Water did drown 15 Acres of the Pl.'s Meadow, adjoining to the same Mill; so as where he used to have 40 Loads of Hay in them, he could now have but 7, &c. *Vide* 30 *E. 3. 3. a.* & 26. *a. b.* 17 *E. 3. * 39. 2 (g) H. 4. 13. a. b.* and so it was in the said Case of *Penruddock*. But it was resolved, that the Plaintiffs need not in this Case assign any (b) special Nuisance; for here it appears to the Court, that it is to the Plaintiffs Nuisance; For this Case differs from all the said Cases; for in this Case the Defendant has built a new House, which overhangs Part of the Plaintiff's House (which was not in any of the other Cases) so that of (i) Necessity the Rain which falls from the new House must fall upon the Pl.'s House. Also

(a) Doctrin. pl. 96, 384;

(b) 2 Roll. 140, 141.

(c) Doctrin. placit. 86.

Br. Nuisance 16. Br. Travers, &c. 167.

(d) 4 E. 3. 36. a. b. (e) 2 Roll. 140. Fitz. Nuisance 1.

(f) Fitz. Aff. ult 309.

* 17 E. 3 9. b. 2 Roll. 142.

(g) Fitz. quod permittat 2. Br. quod permittat 3.

Br. Brief 523. (b) Doctrin. placit. 86.

(i) 2 Roll. 140, 141.

(a) *Cujus*

(a) 2 Rol. 141.
Co. Lit. 4. a.
Cr. El. 118.
(b) 2 Rol. 141.
(c) Co. Lit.
303. b.
(d) Doct. pl.
86.
(e) Doct. pl.
85.
8 Co. 126. b.
(f) 8 Co. 126. b.
45 E. 3. 16. b.
Doct. pl. 86.
Fitz. Brief 602.
Br. Faux Latin
8. Form 13.
(g) Doct. pl. 86.
Hard. 81.

(a) *Cujus est solum, ejus est usque ad Cælum.* And therewith agrees 13 H. 8. 1. And by the Overbuilding upon Part of the House of the Pl.'s, he has deprived them of the Air; also he has (b) prevented them from building their House higher; and that which appears (c) to the Court need not be averred; for (d) *Lex non requirit verificare quod apparet Cur'*, *Plow. Com.* 87. b. in *Partridge's Case.* 13 H. 4. 17. if (e) an Infant brings an Affize of Mortdancerster, he need not aver, that it is within the Time of Limitation, for it appears by the Pl.'s Infancy, 46 E. 3. in *Trespafs* for taking (f) of Money, the Value need not be shewed, because it appears. *Vide* 33 H. 6. 54. 26 H. 6. *Gard.* 58. 35 H. 6. 30. a. *Bracton* 254. and this is according to the old Verse, *Quod (g) constat clare non debet verificare.* And in *Penruddock's Case*, the Pl. did not assign any special Nufance before the Writ brought; but that *superpendet 3 pedes curtilagii, &c. per qd' aquæ pluviales de eadem domo descendentes, solum ejusd' mesuagii conterunt, ac magnopere indies magis magisq; consumunt & devastant, & ea ratione curtilagium præd' quolibet pluviali tempore humectatum & inundatum existit*: So that all the Words in the said Declaration being in the present Tense, and so after the Writ brought, and no Assignment of any such particular Nufance before the Writ brought, it appears thereby that the Court, as of a Thing apparent, took Notice thereof without Averment, For *Nunc pluit, & toto nunc Jupiter æthera fulget*, and that every one knows: And the Book in 3 E. 3. *Affise* 362. (b) was cited where in Affise of Nufance *de fossa levato ad nocum' liberi ten' sui*; and made his Plaint that there where the Water of S. held Course directly from S. to the Water of *Idele*, the Def. had made a Ditch cross the Water so that the Water was stopt and rose, so that his Land lying near the said Ditch is drowned *ad dampnum, &c.* and Exception was taken to it, because he doth not say how much Land is drowned, so that the Plea is uncertain (and Note he doth not shew as in (i) 32 *Aff.* before the particular Nufance upon the drowning, *sc.* that where he used to have so many Loads of Hay, that now he has but so many;) also it might have been said, that by some Manner of drowning, the Meadow would be the better, but there *ad dampnum* implies the Contrary, but it was answered in the Case of (k) 3 E. 3. that the Affise shall say in certain, because sometimes more may be drowned, and sometimes less, wherefore the said Plaint was adjudged good. So in the Case at Bar, the Jury shall enquire of the Certainty and Quantity of the Damage which happened to the Pl. by the said Nufance. *Nota* Reader, there are 2 (l) Ways to redress a Nufance, one by Action, and in that he shall recover Damages, and have Judgment that the Nufance shall be remov'd, cast down, or abated, as the Case requires; or the

(b) Doct. pl. 86.

(i) 32 *Aff.* 2.
Antea 54. a.

(k) 3 E. 3.
Affise 362.
Supra. h.

(l) 1 Jones 221.

the Party grieved may (a) enter and abate the Nufance (a) 1 Rol. Rep. himself, as appears by 17 E. 3. 44. 9 E. 4. 35. and in Pen-³⁹⁴ ruddock's Case, but then he shall not have an Action, nor ³ Bullf. 197. recover Damages, for in an *Affise of Nufance*, or *Quod per-* ^{Cr. El. 296.} *mittat profternere*, &c. it is a good Plea, that the Plaintiff ¹ Jones 222. himself either before the Writ brought, or pending the Writ, ² Rol. 144, 145, has abated the Nufance: For in an *Affise* or *Quod permit-* ^{565.} *tat*, he shall have Judgment of 2 Things, *fc.* to have the Nu- ⁵ Co. 101. b. fance abated, and to recover Damages, and he has disabled ^{Cr. Jac. 555.} himself by his own Act to have Judgment for one of them, ^{Cr. Car. 185.} *fc.* to have a Nufance abated, and therefore the Action doth ⁹ E. 4. 35. b. not lie; and therewith agree 50 E. 3. 11. a. b. The Abbey of *Buckfast's Case*, and 2 H. 4. 1. 46 E. 3. 24. a. 29 *Aff. 2.* *Vide* the Stat. of W. 2. c. 24. In (b) *Casibus in quibus conce-* ^{(b) 2} *ditur breve de Cancell' de facto alicujus, de cætero non rece-* ^{Inst. 405.} *dant querentes a Curia Regis sine remedio, pro eo quod* ^{&c.} *Ten'tum transfertur de uno in alium. Et in Registro de* *Cancellaria non est inventum aliquod breve in isto casu speci-* *ali, sicuti de muro, domo, mercato, conceditur breve super* ^{(c) 5} *eum qui levavit ad nocumentum, & si (c) transferatur* ^{Co. 101. a.} *domus, murus, & hiis similia in aliam personam, breve non* *denegetur, sed de cætero cum in uno casu conceditur breve in* *confimili casu simili remedio indigente sicut prius fiat breve.* And the Reason, that at the Common Law *Affise* of Nufance lay not against him who levy'd the Nufance, and him to whom the Tenement was transferred, was because there was not found any Writ of *Affise* of Nufance in the Register, but which supposed, that the Tenants in the *Affise* ² *levaverunt*; and that can't be said when the Tenement is transferred to another; for he did not levy the Nufance, but only the other; and now this Stat. gives a Writ of *Affise* in such Case: *fc.* *Questus est nobis A. quod B.* (who levied the Nufance) *& C.* (to whom the Tenement is transferred) *levaverunt*, and this Stat. extends only to *Affise* of Nufance against him who made the Nufance and his Alience, 30 E. 3. 26. a. b. 46 E. 3. 23. b. 24. a. 50 E. 3. 11. a. b. and afterwards the Plaintiffs in the *Quod permittat* had Judgment.

THE
Poulterers Case.

(a) Moor 813,
814.

(b) Cr. Car.

15, 16.

3 Inst. 143.

2 Roi. Rep.

258.

2 Bulst. 271.

1 Jones 93.

Latch. 79, 80.

Hut. 49.

O. Bendl. 124.

Palm. 315.

1 Rol. 110, 111,

112.

Herd. 196.

2 Inst. 561, 562.

(c) 1 Jones 94.

MICH. 8 Jac. Regis, The Case between (a) *Stone* Plaintiff, and *Ralph Waters, Henry Bate, J. Woodbridge*, and many other Poulterers of *London* Defendants, for a Combination, (b) Confederacy, and Agreement betwixt them falsely and maliciously to charge the Plaintiff (who had married the Widow of a Poulterer in *Gracchurch-street*) with the Robbery of the said *Ralph Waters*; supposed to be committed in the County of *Essex*, and to procure him to be indicted, arraigned, adjudged, and hanged, and in Execution of this false Conspiracy, they procured divers Warrants of Justices of Peace, by Force whereof *Stone* was apprehended, examined, and bound to appear at the Assizes in *Essex*; at which Assizes the Defendants did appear, and preferred a Bill of Indictment of Robbery against the said Plaintiff; And the Justices of Assize hearing the Evidence to the Grand Jury openly in Court, they perceived great Malice in the Defendants in the Prosecution of the Cause, and upon the whole Matter it appeared, That the Pl. the whole Day that *Waters* was robbed, was in *London*, so that it was impossible that he committed the Robbery, and thereupon the Grand Inquest found (c) *Ignoramus*. And it was moved and strongly urged by the Defs. Counsel, That admitting this Combination, Confederacy and Agreement between them to indict the Pl. to be false, and malicious, that yet no Action lies for it in this Court, or elsewhere, for divers Reasons. 1. Because no Writ of *Conspiracy* for the Parry grieved, or Indictment or other Suit for the K. lies, but where the Parry grieved is indicted, and *legitimo modo acquietatus*,

acquietatus, as the Books are, (a) *F. N. B.* 114. b. 6 *E.* 3. 41. (a) *F. N. B.*
a. 24 *E.* 3. 34. b. 43 *E.* 3. *Conspiracy* 11. 27 *Aff. p.* 59. 19 *H.* 6. 28. 114. d.
 21 *H.* 6. 26. 9 *E.* 4. 12. &c. 2. Every one who knows himself
 guilty, may to cover their Offences, and to terrify or discour-
 age those who would prosecute the Cause against them, sur-
 mise a Confederacy, Combination, or Agreement betwixt
 them, and by such Means notorious Offenders will escape
 unpunished, or at the least, Justice will be in danger of be-
 ing perverted, and great Offences smothered, and therefore
 they said, that there was no Precedent or Warrant in Law to
 maintain such a Bill as this is. But upon good Consideration,
 it was resolved that the Bill was maintainable; and in this
 Case divers Points were resolved.

1. That at the Com. Law, (which not only favours the
 Life, but also the Liberty of a Man, and Freedom from Im-
 prisonment,) when a Man was imprisoned *pro morte hominis*,
 &c. where *prima facie* by the Law he was notailable, and
ne detineatur diu in prisona, &c. till the Coming of the Ju-
 stices in Eire, as appears by the Stat. *W.* 1. *cap.* 11. the Pri-
 soner in such Case might have a Writ *de* (b) *Odio & atia*,
 directed to the Sheriff, *quod* (c) *assumptis tecum custodibus*
placitorum coronæ in pleno comitatu per Sacrament' probor-
um & legalium hominum, &c. inquiras utrum A. captus &
detentus in prisona, &c. pro morte W. unde rettatus (i. ac-
cusatus) est, rettatus sit odio & atia, an eo quod inde culpa-
bilis sit, & si odio & atia, tunc quo odio & atia, &c. nisi in-
dictatus vel appellatus fuerit coram Justic' nostris ultimo i-
tinerantibus in partibus illis, & pro hoc captus & impriso-
natus, &c. by which it appears, that if the Prisoner be in-
 dicted or appealed, and by Force thereof imprisoned, the
 said Writ being but a Surmise lay not against the said Mat-
 ter of Record.

2. It is to be observed, That if upon the said Writ *de odio*
& atia, the Jury found him Not guilty, yet the Sheriff,
 with the Coroners, or any of them, could not bail him; but
 then should issue forth a Writ *de ponendo in ballivum*
 to the Sheriff, which Writ recites the Inquisition, by which
 the Prisoner is found Not guilty, or that he did it *se defen-*
dcndo, & non per feloniam, ex malitia præcogitata, vel per
infortunium, tibi præcipimus, quod si præd' A. invenerit
tibi 12 probos & legales homines de comit' tuo, &c. qui eum
manucipiant habere coram Justiciariis nostris ad primam
Affisam, c. ad standum, &c. tunc ipsum A. &c. præd' 12 in-
terim tradas in ballivum. By which it appears, that in such
 Case the Sheriff without a Writ could not bail him, nor bail
 by Writ under the Number of 12 Persons who wou'd bail him.

Vide

(b) 2 Inst. 42, 43;
 5 H. 7. 5. 2.
 Stamf. Pl. Cor.
 77. b.
 (c) 2 Inst. 42.
 Vide Regist.
 f. 133. b.

2 Inst. 43.

Vide Magna Charta, cap. 26. W. I. c. II. Glouc, c. 9. W. 2. c. 29. But now this Writ *de odio & atia* is taken away by the Stat. of 28 E. 3. c. 9. *Vide Registr' ubi supra Stamf. Pl. Cor. 77. g. Vide Bracton lib. 3. 121. b.*

3. It is to be observed, That there was Means by the Com. Law before Indictment to protect the Innocent against false Accusation, and to deliver him out of Prison: And as *Odium* in the said Writ signifies *Hatred*, so *Acia* or *Atia* signifies *Malice*, because *malitia est acida, i. eager, Sharp and Cruel.*

Moor 814.
Cr. Jac. 8.

And it is true, That a Writ of *Conspiracy* lies not, unless the Party is indicted, and *legitimo modo acquietatus*, for so are the Words of the Writ; but that a false Conspiracy betwixt divers Persons shall be punished, altho' nothing be put in Execution, is full and manifest in our Books; and therefore in 27 *Aff. p. 44.* in the Articles of the Charge of Enquiry by the Enquest in the King's Bench, there is a *Nota*, That two were indicted of Confederacy, each of them to maintain the other, whether their Matter be true, or false, and notwithstanding that nothing was supposed to be put in Execution, the Parties were forced to answer to it, because the Thing is forbidden by the Law, which are the very Words of the Book; which proves that such false Confederacy is forbidden by the Law, altho' it was not put in ure or executed. So there in the next Article in the same Book, Inquiry shall be of Conspirators and Confederates, who agree amongst themselves, &c. falsely, to indict, or acquit, &c. the Manner of Agreement and betwixt whom, which proves also, That Confederacy to indict or acquit, altho' nothing is executed, is punishable by Law: And there is another Article concerning Conspiracy betwixt Merchants, and in these Cases the Conspiracy or Confederacy is punishable, altho' the Conspiracy or Confederacy be not executed; and it is held in 19 *R. 2. Brief 926.* A Man shall have a Writ of *Conspiracy*, altho' they do nothing but conspire together, and he shall recover Damages, and they may be also indicted thereof. Also the usual Commission of *Oyer and Terminer* gives Power to the Commissioners to enquire, &c. *de omnibus coadunationibus confederationibus, & falsis alliganciis*; and *Coadunatio* is a Uniting of themselves together, *Confederatio* is a Combination amongst them, and *falsa alligantia* is a false Binding each to the other, by Bond or Promise, to execute some unlawful Act: In these Cases before the unlawful Act executed the Law punishes the Coadunation, Confederacy or false Alliance,

1 Jones 94.

Moor 814.

to the End to prevent the unlawful Act, *quia (a) quando aliquid prohibetur, prohibetur & id per quod pervenitur ad illud: Et affectus punitur licet non sequatur effectus*; and in these Cases the Common Law is a Law of Mercy, for it prevents the Malignant from doing Mischief, and the Innocent from suffering it. *Hill. 37 H. 8.* in the Star-chamber a Priest was stigmatized with *F. (b)* and *A.* in his Forehead, and set upon the Pillory in *Cheapside*, with a written Paper, for *false Accusation. M. 3 & 4 Ph. & Ma.* one also for the like Case *fuit Stigmaticus* with *F. & A.* in the Cheek, with such Supercription as is aforesaid. *Vide 'Proverb' 1. Si te lactaverint peccatores & dixerint, Veni nobiscum ut insidemur sanguini, abscondamus tendiculas contra insontem frustra, &c. omnem pretiosam substantiam respiciemus & implebimus domus nostras spoliis, &c. Fili mi ne ambules coram eis, &c. pedes enim eorum ad malum currunt & festinant ut effundant sanguinem.* And afterwards upon the Hearing of the Case, and upon pregnant Proofs, the Defendants were sentenced for the said false Confederacy by Fine and Imprisonment. *Nota* Reader, These Confederacies, punishable by Law, before they are executed, ought to have four Incidents: 1. It ought to be declared by some manner of Prosecution, as in this Case it was, either by making of Bonds, or Promises one to the other: 2. It ought to be malicious, as for unjust Revenge, &c. 3. It ought to be false against an Innocent: 4. It ought to be out of Court voluntarily.

(a) 2 Inst. 48.
Hardr. 146.

(b) Moor 814.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

William Aldred's Case.

2 Rol. 141.

*W*illiam Aldred brought an Action on the Case against Thomas Beaton, which began Trin. 7. Jacobi. Rot. 2802. in Banco, that whereas the Plaintiff, 29 Septemb' anno 6 Jac. was seised of an House, and a Parcel of Land in Length 31 Feet, and in Breadth 2 Feet and an half, next to the Hall and Parlour of the Plaintiff of his House aforesaid in Herleston in the County of Norfolk in Fee; and whereas the Def. was possessed of a small Orchard on the East Part of the said Parcel of Land, *præd' Thomas malitiose machinans & intendens ipsam Willielmum de casianamento & proficuo messuag' & parcell' terre suorum præd' impedire & deprivare*, the said 29th Day of Septemb' anno 6 Jacobi quoddam magnum lignum in dicto horto ipsius Thome construxit & crexit, ac illud adeo exaltavit, &c. quod per lignum illud, &c. tam omnia fenestr' & luminaria ipsius Willielmi aule & Camerarum suarum, quam ostium ipsius Willielmi aule sue prædict' penitus obstupat' fuer', &c. & præd' Thomas ulterius machinans & malitiose intendens ipsam Willielmum multipliciter aggravare, & ipsum de toto comodo, casianamento & proficuo totius messuagii sui præd' penitus deprivare, præd' 29 die Sept. an. 6 suprad' quoddam edificium pro subibus (a) & porcis suis in horto suo præd' tem prope aulam & conclave ipsius Willielmi prædict' erexit, ac sues & porcos suos in edificio in horto illo posuit, & ill' ibidem per magnum tempus custodivit, ita quod per se-

tudo

(a) Hutt. 136
2 Rol. 141

uidos & insalubres odores sordidorum prædict' suum & porcorum præd' Thomæ in aulam & conclave præd' ac alias partes præd' Messuagii ipsius Willielmi penetrant & influent idem Willielmus & famuli sui, ac alia persone in messuagio suo præd' conversantes & existen' absque periculo infectionis in aula & conclavi præd' ac aliis locis messuagii præd' continuare seu remanere non potuerunt: Prætextu cuius idem Willielmus totum commodum, usum, estimentum, & profectuum maxime partis messuagii sui præd' per totum tempus præd' totaliter perdidit & amisit ad damnum ipsius Willielmi 40l. &c. And the Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and at the Assises in Norfolk he was found guilty of both the said Nufances, and Damages assessed. And now it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, That the Building of the House for Hogs was necessary for the Sustenance of Man; and one ought not to have so delicate a Nose, that he can't bear the smell of Hogs; for *Lex non favet delicatorem votis*: But it was resolved, That the Action for it is (as this Case is) well maintainable; for in an House 4 Things are desired, *habitatio hominis, delectatio inhabitantis, necessitas luminis, & salubritas aeris*, and for Nufance done to 3 of them an Action lies, *sc. 1.* to the Habitation of a Man, for that is the principal End of a House. 2. For Hindrance of the Light; for the ancient Form of an Action on the Case was significant, *sc. quod Messuagium horrida tenebritate obscuratum fuit*, therewith agree 7 E. 3. 50. b. 22 H. 6. 14. (a) by Markham, 11 H. 4. 47. and as to this there was a Case adjudged in the King's Bench, *Trin. 29 El. Tho. (b) Bland* brought an Action on the Case against *Thomas Moseley*, and declared how that *James Bland* was seised in Fee of an ancient House in *Netherousegate* in the Parish of *S. Michael* in the County of the City of *York*; and that the said *James*, and all those whose Estate he had in the said House, from Time whereof, &c. have had and have used to have for them and their Tenants, for Life, Years, and at Will in the West side of the said House seven Windows or Lights against a Piece of Land containing half a Rood, in the Parish aforesaid, adjoining to the said House, which Piece of Land from Time whereof, was without any building, until the 28 Day of *Septemb. anno 28 El.* and shewed the Length and Bread of the said Windows for all the Time aforesaid, by force of which Windows the said *James*, and all those whose Estates he had in the said House from Time whereof, &c. have used to have for them and their Tenants aforesaid divers wholesome and necessary Easements and Commodities, by reason of the open Air and Light, &c. And that the said *James 20 Sep. an. 28 El.* demised to the Pl. the said House for 3 (c) Years; and that the Def. maliciously in-

(a) 211 5 15 a.
2 Rol. 140.
(b) Hut. 136.
1 Rol. 107, 538.
Yelv. 216.
1 Bullstr. 115,
116.
Godb. 183

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

William Aldred's Case.

2 Rol. 141.

*W*illiam Aldred brought an Action on the Case against Thomas Benton, which began Trin. 7. Jacobi. Rot. 2802. in Banco, that whereas the Plaintiff, 29 Septemb' anno 6 Jac. was seised of an House, and a Parcel of Land in Length 31 Feet, and in Breadth 2 Feet and an half, next to the Hall and Parlour of the Plaintiff of his House aforesaid in Harleston in the County of Norfolk in Fee; and whereas the Def. was possessed of a small Orchard on the East Part of the said Parcel of Land, *præd' Thomas malitiose machinans & intendens ipsam Willielmum de castamento & proficuo messuag' & parcell' terre suorum præd' impedire & deprivare*, the said 29th Day of Septemb' anno 6 Jacobi quoddam magnum lignile in dicto horto ipsius Thome construxit & crexit, ac illud adeo exaltavit, &c. quod per lignile illud, &c. tam omnia fenestr' & luminaria ipsius Willielm' aule & Camerarum suorum, quam ostium ipsius Willielm' aule sue prædict' penitus obstupat' fuer', &c. & præd' Thomas ulterius machinans & malitiose intendens ipsam Willielmum multipliciter pragravare, & ipsum de toto comodo, castamento & proficuo totius messuagu sui præd' penitus deprivare, præd' 29 die Sept. an. 6 suprad' quoddam edificium pro suis (a) & porcis suis in horto suo præd' tam prope aulam & conclave ipsius Willielm' prædict' erexit, ac suos & porcos suos in edificio in horto illo posuit, & ill' ibidem per magnum tempus custodivit, ita quod per se-

(a) Hort. 136
2 Rol. 141

tidos & insalubres odores sordidorum prædict' suum & porcorum præd' Thomæ in aulam & conclave præd' ac alias partes præd' Messuagii ipsius Willielmi penetrant' & influent' idem Willielmus & famuli sui, ac alia persone in messuagio suo præd' conversantes & existen' absque periculo infectionis in aula & conclavi præd' ac aliis locis messuagii præd' continere seu remanere non potuerunt: Prætextu cuius idem Willielmus totum commodum, usum, eccliammentum, & proficuum maxime partis messuagii sui præd' per totum tempus præd' totaliter perdidit & amisit ad damnum ipsius Willielmi 40l. &c. And the Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and at the Assises in Norfolk he was found guilty of both the said Nuisances, and Damages assessed. And now it was moved in arrest of Judgment, That the Building of the House for Hogs was necessary for the Sustainance of Man; and one ought not to have so delicate a Nose, that he can't bear the smell of Hogs; for *Lex non favet delicatarum votis*: But it was resolved, That the Action for it is (as this Case is) well maintainable; for in an House 4 Things are desired, *habitation hominis, delectatio inhabitantis, necessitas luminis, & salubritas aeris*, and for Nuisance done to 3 of them an Action lies, *sc. 1.* to the Habitation of a Man, for that is the principal End of a House. 2. For Hindrance of the Light, for the ancient Form of an Action on the Case was significant, *sc. quod Messuagium horrida tenebritate obscuratum fuit*, therewith agree 7 E. 3. 50. b. 22 H. 6. 14. (a) by *Markham*, 11 H. 4. 47. and as to this there was a Case adjudged in the King's Bench, *Trin. 29 El. Tho. (b) Bland* brought an Action on the Case against *Thomas Moscley*, and declared how that *James Bland* was seised in Fee of an ancient House in *Netherousgate* in the Parish of *S. Michael* in the County of the City of *York*; and that the said *James*, and all those whose Estate he had in the said House, from Time whereof, &c. have had and have used to have for them and their Tenants, for Life, Years, and at Will in the West side of the said House seven Windows or Lights against a Piece of Land containing half a Rood, in the Parish aforesaid, adjoining to the said House, which Piece of Land from Time whereof, was without any building, until the 28 Day of *Septemb. anno 28 El.* and shewed the Length and Bread of the said Windows for all the Time aforesaid, by force of which Windows the said *James*, and all those whose Estates he had in the said House from Time whereof, &c. have used to have for them and their Tenants aforesaid divers wholesome and necessary Easements and Commodities, by reason of the open Air and Light, &c. And that the said *James 20 Sep. an. 28 El.* demised to the Pl. the said House for 3 (c) Years; and that the Def. maliciously in-

(a) 22 H. 6. 15 a
2 Rol. 140.

(b) Hur. 136.
1 Rol. 107, 558.
Ye. v. 216.
1 Bull. 115,
116.
Godb. 183

(c) F. N. B.
tending 187 &c.

tending to deprive him of the said Easements, & *obscurare Messuagium præd' horrida tenebritate, &c.* 20 Nov. ann. 29
 (a) 3 Inst. 207, Eliz. had erected a new (a) Building on the said Piece of
 202 Land, so near, &c. that the said 7 Windows were stopped,
 whereby the Pl. lost the said Easements, &c. *Et maximas pars Messuagi prædict' horrida tenebritate obscurata fuit,*
 &c. In the Bar of which Action the Defendant pleaded,
quod infra prædict' civitatem Ebor' talis habetur, & a toto tempore cuius contrarii memoria non existit, habebatur consuetudo, videlicet, quod si quis habuerit fenestras & visum per easdem versus terram vicini sui, vicinus ille visum illarum fenestrarum obstruere super terram illam solebat & posset, sicut melius viderit sibi expedire. By Force of which Custom he justified the Stopping of the said Windows; and upon that the Pl. demurred in Law; and it was adjudged by Sir Chr. Wray Ch. Justice, and the whole Court of K.'s Bench,
 (b) Y. 17 = 16. That the Bar was insufficient in Law (b) to bar the Pl. of
 Godd 123. his Action, for two Reasons: 1. When a Man has a lawful Easement or Profit, by Prescription from Time whereof, &c. another Custom which is also from Time whereof, &c. can't take it away, for the one Custom is as ancient as the other: As if one has a Way over the Land of A. to his Freehold by Prescription from Time whereof, &c. A. can't alledge a Prescription or Custom to stop the said Way. 2. It may be that before Time of Memory, the Owner of the said Piece of Land has granted to the Owner of the said House to have the said Windows, without any stopping of them, and so the Prescription may have a lawful Beginning: And Wray Ch. Justice then said, That for stopping as well of the wholesome Air (c) as of Light an Action lies, and Damages shall be recovered for them, for both are necessary, for it is said, & *respiratur aura ætherea*; and the said Words *horrida tenebritate, &c.* are significant, and imply the Benefit of the Light. But he said, That for (d) prospect, which is a Matter only of Delight, and not of Necessity, no Action lies for stopping thereof, and yet it is a great Commendation of an House if it has a long and large Prospect, *unde dicitur, Levaturque domus longos qui prospectit agros.* But the Law don't give an Action for such Things of Delight. And Solomon says, *Ecclesiast. 11. 7. Dulce lumen est & delectabile oculis videre solum. Et olim (ut Plutarchus in Conv. 7. Sap. refert.) Rex Æthiopum interrogatus quid optinuerit? respondebat lucem; quis e contra natura Duce tenebras non exhorrescit?* and if the Stopping of the wholesome Air, &c. gives Cause of Action, a fortiori an Action lies in the Case at Bar, for infecting and corrupting the Air. And the Building of

(c) 2 R.J. 127

(d) 2 R.J. 121

a (a) Lime-kiln is good and profitable, but if it be built so near an House, that when it burns the Smoke thereof so enters into the House, so that none can dwell there, an Action lies for it. So if a Man has a Watercourse running in a Ditch from the River to his House, for his necessary Use; if a *Glover sets up a b) Lime-pit for Calve-skins, and Sheep-skins, so near the said Watercourse, that the Corruption of the Lime-pit has corrupted it, for which cause his Tenants leave the said House, an Action on the Case lies for it, as it is adjudged in 13 (c) H. 7. 26. b. and this stands with the Rule of Law and Reason, *sc. Prohibetur ne quis faciat in suo quod nocere possit alieno: Et sic (d) utere tuo ut alienum non lædas. Vide in the Book of Entries Tit. Nuisance 406. b.* he who has a several Piscary in a Water shall have an Action on the Case against him who erects a (e) Dyhouse, *ac simos, fœditates, & alia sordida extra domum præd' decurrentia in piscariam præd' decurrere fecit, per quod idem proficuum piscariæ suæ præd' totaliter amisit, &c.* And there is another Precedent against a Dyer, &c. *quod idem Henricus in mansione sua præd' ob metum infectionis per horridum fetorem fumi, fœditatis, & aliorum sordidorum, &c. per magnum tempus morari non audebat.* So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the Declaration is, That the Defendant maliciously intending to deprive the Plaintiff of the Use and Profit of his House, erected a (f) Swine Sty, *tam profere aulam & conclave ipsius Will'i, ac sues & porcos suos in edificio illo posuit, & ill' ibid' per magnum tempus custodivit, ita quod fetidi & insalubres odores sordidorum præd' suum & porcorum præd' Thomæ in aulam, &c. penetrant & insuunt idem Will'us ac famuli sui, &c. in mesuag' prædicti conversantes existunt absq; periculo infectionis in aula, &c. continuare seu remanere non potuerunt, prætextu cujus idem Will' totum commodum, &c. maximæ partis præd' mesuag' per totum tempus præd' totaliter perdidit.* To which Declaration the Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and was found guilty of the Matter in the Declaration: It was adjudged that the Plaintiff should recover.

(a) 2 Rol. 141.

* Pelm. 533.

(b) 2 Rol. 141.

Action sur

e Case 123.

(c) Ant. 51. a.

Palm 536.

(d) Palm. 536.

(e) 2 Rol. 141.

Palm. 536.

(f) 2 Rol. 141.

Hut. 136.

Palm. 536.

Mich. 8 Jacobi.

In Camera Stellata.

John Lamb's Case.

Moor 813.

John Lamb Proctor of the Ecclesiastical Court exhibited a Bill in the Star-Chamber against *William Marche*, *Rob. Harrison*, and many others of the Town of *Northampton*, and against *Skucburgh* and others, for publishing two Libels. It was resolved, That every one who shall be convicted in the said Case, either ought to be a Contriver of the Libel, or a procurer of the Contriving of it, or a malicious Publisher of it, knowing it to be a Libel; for if one reads a Libel, that is no Publication of it; or if he hears it read, it is no Publication of it, for before he reads or hears it, he can't know it to be a Libel, or if he hears or reads it, and laughs at it, it is no Publication of it; but if after he has read or heard it, he repeats it, or any Part of it in the Hearing of others, or after that he knows it to be a Libel, he reads it to others, that is an unlawful Publication of it; or if he writes a Copy of it, and does not publish it to others, it is no Publication of the Libel; for every one who shall be convicted ought to be Contriver, Procurer or Publisher of it, knowing it to be a Libel. But it is great Evidence that he published it, when he, knowing it to be a Libel, writes a Copy of it; unless afterwards he can prove that he deliver'd it to a Magistrate to examine; for then the Act subsequent explains his Intention precedent. *Vide* Reader, *Braet. lib. 3. tract. de Ceroniis*

Moor 813.

2 In i. 174.

5 Co. 125. b.

Moor 813.

5 Co. 125. b.

Corona cap. 36. fo. 155. Fiat autem injuria, cum quis pugno percussus fuerit, verberatus, vulneratus seu fustibus cæsus; verum etiam cum ei convitium dictum fuerit; vel de eo factum carmen famosum.

Trin. 10 Jacobi Regis.

Robert Bradshaw's Case.

Cr. Jac. 304.
Hob. 114.
Doct. pl. 61.

John Salmond brought an Action of Covenant against *Robert Bradshaw* in the King's Bench, which began Hill. 8 Jac. Regis rot. 520. and declared that *Bradshaw* by his Indenture 3 Aug. anno 7 Jac. Regis, demised to the said *John Salmond* divers Lands and Tenements in *Stanford*, in the County of *Leicester* for six Years, if *Robert Reyms*, Son and Heir apparent of *Nicholas Reyms* should so long live; and covenanted by the same Indenture with *Salmond*, That the said *Bradshaw* then had full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises according to the Form and Effect of the said Indenture. *Salmond* for Breach of the said Covenant in Fact said, That *Bradshaw* at the Time of the Making of the said Indenture, had not full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises, according to the Form and Effect of the said Indenture, & sic præd Rob'us conventionem suam prædict' cum eodem Johan' in hac parte non tenuit, sed illam penitus infregit & illam, &c. to the Damages of *Salmond* 200 l. *Bradshaw* pleaded, That after the Making of the said Indenture, there was a Concord betwixt him and *Salmond*, That *Bradshaw* should pay to *Salmond* in full Satisfaction and Discharge of the said Covenant, and of all other Covenants in the said Indenture 12 l. which Sum *Bradshaw* paid, and *Salmond* accepted accordingly: *Salmond* denied the Concord upon which they were at Issue, and found for the Plaintiff, and Damages assessed 153 l. 7 s. 8 d. and Costs, &c. whereupon *Salmond* had Judgment for Damages and Costs in toto to 145 l. 7 s. 8 d. upon which Judgment *Bradshaw* brought a Writ of Error in *Camera Scaccarii*, and assigned two Errors for the Insufficiency of the Declaration; one that the Plaintiff *Salmond* had not averred, that *Robert Reyms* was alive at the

2 Rol. Rep.
110, 111.

the Time of the Beginning of the said Lease, nor at the Time of the Action brought; & *non allocatur*; for the Covenant refers to the Time of the Lease made, and then be *Reyns* alive or dead the Action lies; for if he be dead before the Lease, then the Lease is absolute, and if he died after the Lease, and before the Action brought, yet the Action lies, and Consideration shall be had thereof in Damages. The other Error which was assigned was, That *Salmond* in his Declaration had not shewed what Person had Right, Title, Estate or Interest in the Lands and Tenements demised at the Time of the Making of the said Indenture, by which it might appear to the Court, that *Bradshaw* had not full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises, and so enable himself to an Action, and to charge the Defendant to answer him Damages for the Breach of the said Covenant. But upon Conference and Debate amongst the Justices, it was resolved, That the Assignment of the Breach of Covenant was good, for he has followed the Words of the Covenant *negative*, and it lies more properly in the Knowledge of the Lessor what Estate he himself has in the Land which he demises, than the Lessee who is a Stranger to it; and therefore the Defendant ought to shew what Estate he had in the Land at the Time of the Demise made, by which it might appear to the Court, that he had full Power and lawful Authority to demise it. *Nota* this Point adjudged by both Courts.

Cr Jac. 304.
370.
Doctrin pl. 61.
Co. Lit. 303. b.
1 Mod. Rep. 292.
Cr. Jac 312.
Yelv. 228.

Spe-

*Special Verdict at a Sessions
of Goal-delivery for Newgate
Decemb. 5. Anno 8 Jacobi
Regis.*

Mackalley's Case in killing a Serjeant of London.

Gro. Jac. 279.

AD session' gaolæ deliberationis de Newgate, tent' pro ci-
vitate London, apud Justice Hall in the Old Bailey,
in paroch' sanct' Sepulchri extra Newgate in suburbiis dictæ
civitatis, die Mercur' quinto die Decembris annis regni do-
mini Jacobi Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Regis,
fidei defensor', octavo, & Scotiæ 44. coram *Willielm' Crazen*
milit', Major' civitat' præd', *Thoma Fleming* milit', capital'
Justic' dicti domini Regis ad plac' coram ipso Rege tenend'
assign', *Georgio Snigg* milit' uno Baron' Scaccarii dicti do-
mini Regis, *Johanne Croke* milit', uno Justic' dicti domini
Regis ad plac' coram ipso Rege tenend' assign' *Thoma Foster*
milit', uno Justic' dicti domini Regis de Banco, *Edwardo*
Bromly milit', altero Baron' dicti domini Regis Scaccarii
sui præd', *Joanne Sotherton*, altero Baronum Scaccarii sui
præd', *Henrico Mountague* milit' Recordatore dictæ civit' suæ
London, ac aliis sociis suis, Justic' dicti domini Regis, per
litteras patent' ipsius domini Regis, eis & al' & aliquib' quatu-
or vel pluribus eorum inde confect', ad inquirend' per sacrum
proborum & legalium hominum de civit' Lond. tam infra li-
bertat' quam extra, per quos rei veritas melius sciri poterit,
de quibuscunq; prodicionibus, misprisionibus, prodicionum,
insurrectionibus, rebellionibus, ac de quibuscunq; murdris,
feloniis, homicidiis, interfectionibus, burglariis, & al' male-
factis, offensis, & injuriis quibuscunq; infra civitat' prædict'
commis. in literis patent' præd' specificat', ac ad eadem
prodiones & alia præmiss. secundum legem & consuetudin'

regni domini Regis Angliæ, audiend' & terminand, necnon Justic' ipsius domini Regis ad gaolam præd' de prison' in ea existen' deliberand' assign' per sacramentum Radulphi Edmundi, Leonardi Harwood, Joh. Frost, Edw. Davies, Joh. Lyffant, Francisci Barton, Edw. Parnell, Tho. Hyet, Hen. Kent, Edw. Motley, Humfrid' Lee, Rich. Westcot, Williel. Fairbrother, Edw. Fawcet, & Tho. Smith, proborum & legalium hominum civitat' præd' extitit præsentat', qd' ubi die Sabbat' 17 die Novembris, anno regni domini nostri Jacobi Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Regis, fidei defensoris, &c. 8. & Scotiæ 44. in cur' dicti domini Regis coram Rich. Pyot Aldermano, adtunc & adhuc uno Vicecom' civitat' London præd' in computator' suo scituat' in Parochia S. Michaelis in Woodstreet London præd' secundum consuetudinem civitat' præd' tunc tent', quidam Rob. Radford levass. quandam querel' de plac' debiti, super demand' quingent' libr', versus quendam Joh. Murray de London armigerum, cujus guidem querel' tenor sequitur in hæc verba, scil', Joh. Murray armiger summon' versus Rob. Radford Salter in plac' debiti super demand' quingent' libr': Ac superinde præd' Rob. Radford tunc & ibidem petiit processum versus dictum Joh. Murray secundum consuetudinem civitat' præd' serviend': Super quo ad petitionem ejusdem Rob. Radford taliter in eadem cur' processum fuit qd' præd' Rich. Pyot, tunc & adhuc unus Vicecomit' civitat' præd' cuidam Rich. Fells, adtunc uno servient' ad clav' dict' Vicecom', ac ministro cur' præd', ore tenus, secundum consuetudinem civitat' prædict' præcepit, quod ipse idem servien' ad clav' præd' Joh. Murray per corpus suum çaperet & arrestaret si invent' foret infra libertat' civitat' prædict', ita quod haberet corpus præf. Johan. Murray ad proxim' cur' dicti domini Regis apud Guildhald' civitat' præd' scituat' in Parochia S. Laurentii in veteri Judaismo in Ward' de Cheape London præd' die Mercur' 21. die dicti mensis Novembris, annis 8 & 44. præd' tenend', ad respond' præf. Rob. Radf. rd in plac' querel' suæ præd': Virtute cujus præcepti idem Rich. Fells eundem Joh. Murray postea, scil', decimo octavo die dicti mensis Novembris, annis regni dicti domini Regis nunc octavo & quadrages. quarto supradict' inter horas quintam & sextam post merid' ejusd' diei, apud Lond. præd', videl't, in Paroch' S. Martini Bowyer Rowe, in Ward' de Farringd' infra London præd', in communi alta Regia via ibid' per corpus suum

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

suum cepit & arrestavit, ac sub custodia sua tunc & ibidem habuit; ipsoq; Joh. Murray sic sub custod' dicti Rich. Fells virtute præcepti præd' tunc & ibidem ut præfertur existens, ita tunc & ibidem acciderit, quod idem Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, al' dict' Joh. Murry nuper de London armiger, quidam Joh. Mackall nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Joh. Mackalley nuper de London Yeoman, quidam Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, al' dict' Joh. English nuper de London Yeoman, & quidam Archibald' Miller nup' de Lond. Yeoman timorem Dei præ oculis suis non habentes, sed instigatione diabolica moti & seduct' vi & armis, videlicet, gladiis, &c. ea intentione ad ipsum Johan. Murray ab arrestatione præd' tunc & ibidem rescussand' in & super præd' Rich. Fells tunc & ibidem insult' & affraiam fecer'; in qua quidem affraia præd' Joh. Mackall alias dictus Joh. Mackalley, cum quodam gladio, Anglice vocat' a *Kapier*, de ferro & chalibe extract', valoris duodecim denar', quam ipse idem Joh. Mackall, alias dictus Joh. Mackalley, in manu sua dextra tunc & ibidem habuit & tenuit, eundem Rich. Fells, in & super sinistram patrem corporis subter sinistram scapul', Anglice *the left Shoulder Blade*, ejusdem Richardi, felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat', tunc & ibidem percussit & inforavit, Anglice *thrust in* dans eidem Rich. Fells ad tunc & ibidem cum gladio præd' vocat' a *Kapier*, in & super sinistram partem corporis, subter sinistram scapul' præd', Anglice *the left Shoulder Blade* asportatid, unam plagam & vulnus mortale longitud' dimid' unius pollic', latitudin' dimid' unius pollic' & profunditat' sex pollic' de qua quidem plaga & vulnere mortal' præd' prædictus Rich. Fell ad tunc & ibidem, scil', in Parochia & Ward' ultime præd', instanter obiit. Et ulterius jur' præd' præferant quod præd' Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, alias dictus Joh. Murry nuper de London armig', prædict' Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Johan. English nuper de London Yeoman, & præd' Archibald' Miller nuper de London Yeoman, dicto decimo octavo die Novembris annis octavo & quadragesimo quarto supradictis, inter horas prædictas, in Parochia, Ward' & loco ultim' præd' felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat' fuer' presentes, pugnantes, procurantes, præcipient', abettant', confortant', & auxiliant' præd' Johannem Mackall nuper de Lond. Yeoman, alias dictum Joh. Mackalley nuper de Lond. Yeoman, ad præd' Richardum Fells modo & forma præd' interficiend'

fciend' & murdrand'. Et sic jurator' præd' dicunt quod prædictis Joh. Mackall nuper de London Yeoman, alias dict' Joh. Mackalley nuper de London Yeoman, Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, alias dictus Joh. Murry nuper de London armig', Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Joh. English nuper de London Yeoman, & Archibald' Miller nuper de London Yeoman, præd' Rich. Fells apud London præd', scilicet, in Parochia & Ward' ultime prædict' felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat', modo & forma præd' interfecer' & murdraver', contra pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc coron' & dignitat' suas, &c. Et super hoc ad istam eandem session', coram præf. Justic', præd' Joh. Murray, al' Murry, Joh. Mackall, alias Mackalley, Joh. Engles, alias English, & Archibald' Miller in custod' Rich. Pyot ac Francisci Jones Vicecom' civitat' præd' in gaola de Newgate præd' existen', ad barram ibidem duct' in propr' person' suis vener', & separatim allocuti qualiter se vellent de felon' & murdro præd' acquietari, quilibet eorum pro seipso separatim dixit, quod ipse non fuit inde culpabil', & inde de bono & malo separatim se posuer' super patriam, & Rich. Langley armig', qui pro Domino Rege in hac parte sequitur, similiter, &c. ideo immediate ven' inde jurata: Et jurator' jurat' illius per præd' Vicecom' civitat' præd' ad hoc impannellat' exact', scil', Willi'us Morgan, Tho. Dalbit, Tho. Evans, Tho. Astin, Salomon Green, Will. Chewne, Will. Ellill, Metcalf Allington, Joh. Drake, Will. Taylor, Owinus Davies, & Tho. Dampont vener', qui ad veritat' de & super præmiss. dicend' electi, triati, & jurat' dicunt super sacram' suum quod civitas London est, & a toto tempore cujus contrarii memor' hominum non existit, fuit antiqua civitas, quodq; infra civitat' præd', a toto tempore præd' fuit cur' de record' tent' in computator', scituat' in Parochia S. Michaelis in Woodstreet præd', coram uno Vicecom' civitat' præd' pro tempore existen'; quodq; infra civitat' præd' talis habetur & a toto tempore supradict' habebat' consuetud', quod in præd' cur' omnes & singul' personæ, a toto tempore supradict' usæ fuer' levare querel' de placit' debiti, attingent' ad quamcunque summam, versus aliquam person' quamcunque, & causare easdem querel' intrari in libro Janitor' computator' prædict'; ac quod a toto tempore prædict', fuit & est Janitor computator' prædict', qui quidem Janitor computator' prædict' pro tempore existen', a toto tempore prædict' fuit & est officiar' dicti unius Vicecom' civitat' prædict', ad intrand' querel' in

in forma præd' levat' in libro Janitor' computator' præd' versus quamcunq; personam, ad sectam cujuscunq; personæ, in pl' debiti attingen ad quamcunq; summam, in quodam brevi & summario modo; ac qd' querel' præd' in libro Janitor' præd' intrat', a toto tempore præd', consueverunt transferri & intrari de recordo in rotul' cur' præd' in debita legis forma, infra tempus rationabile & conveniens, post intrationem earund' in libro Janitor' præd'; ac quod infra civitatem præd' talis habetur & per totum tempus præd' habebatur consuetud', quod aliqua persona existen' servien' ad clav' dicti Vicecom', ac minister cur' præd', ad requisitionem partis hujusmodi querel' sic levant, ex offic' usa fuerit, post intrac' hujusmodi querel' in libro Janitor' præd', tam ante intrac' hujusmodi querel' in rotulo cur' præd', quam post hujusmodi intrac' in rotulo cur' præd' capere & arrestare per corpus suum aliquam hujusmodi person' versus quam talis querel' levata fuit, ad respondend' hujusmodi personæ quer' in pl' præd', absq; aliquo al' præcepto ore tenus, vel aliter, tali servien' ad clav' ac ministro cur' præd' in ea parte direct', five dirigend'. Ac jur' præd' ulterius dicunt super sacramentum suum præd', quod præd' die Sabbati decim' septim' die Novembris anno Domini millesimo sexcentesimo decimo, præd' Rob. Radford civis Lond. requisivit præf. Rich. Fells, tunc un' servien' ad clav' dicti Rich. Pyot adtunc unius Vicecom' civitat' præd', quod ipse idem Rich. Fells causaret levari querel' de debito quingent' libr' in computator' præd', ad sectam præd' Rob. Radford versus præd' Joh. Murray armig', & superinde arrestaret præfatum Johan. Murray ad respondend' præf. Rob. Radford in querel' præd', dictuq; Richardus Fells superinde ivit ad dict' computator' in parochia S. Michael' in Woodstreet præd', & ibidem dicto 17 die Novembris, an' octavo & 44. præd', levare causavit querel' de debito quingent' libr' versus præf. Joh. Murray ad sectam præd' Rob. Radford; quæ quidem querel' adtunc intrat' fuit in libro Janitor' computator' præd', Anglice in the *Docter's Book of the Counter* aforesaid, prout in talibus casibus usuale existit, ac secund' consuetud' præd', in hæc verba, ff. J. Murray Esq; vers. Rob. Radford Salter debt CCCCC. l. pl' Fleetstreet per Fells servien: Quæ quid' querel' postea intrat' fuit de record' in rot' cur' computat' præd', in hiis verbis, ff. Sabbati 17 die Novembris ann' regni Jacobi Regis Angl', Franc', & Hibern', 8. Scotiæque 44. Johan. Murray armig' S. versus Rob. Radford Salter in placito debiti super demand' 500. l. pleg' de prosequend'

sequend' Johan. Fleat & Rich. Streat per Fells servien', &c. Sed jurator' præd' super sacram' suum dicunt, quod intrac' præd' in rotulo cur' præd' fact' fuit die Lunæ decimo nono die Novemb. annis octavo & quadragesimo quarto præd', & non antea, quodque dictus Rich. Fells die Solis dicto decimo octavo die Novembris, cum tribus al' offic' in ejus cœt', Anglice in his Company, manebat circa portum vocat' Ludgate infra libertat' civitat' London præd', ad arrestand' virtute querel' præd' præd' Johan. Murray cum præterieret, & postea quando idem Joh. Murray, inter horas quintam & sextam post merid' ejusdem decimi octavi diei Novembris, ambulabat & transibat per & trans Ludgate præd', in communi alta via Regia, cum sex al' person' in ejus cœtu (dictis al' person' armat' existen') dictus Rich. Fells adtunc existen' un' servien' ad clav' dicti Rich. Pyot adtunc un' Vicecom' civit' præd' juratus & cognitus, ac minister cur' præd', prope Ludgate in dicta communi alta via Regia, in præd' parochia S. Martini Bowyer Rowe, in præd' Ward' de Farringdon infra London præd', ven' ad dictum Joh. Murray, & ipsum Joh. adtunc & ibidem infra brachia ipsius Rich. virtute præmissorum cepit & tenuit, & eidem Joh. Murray, prout in Anglican' verbis sequit', instanter dixit, I, seipsum Rich. Fells innuendo, Arrest you, dictum Joh. Murray innuendo, in the King's Name, at the Suit of Master Radford, dictum Rob. Radford in querel' præd' nominat' innuend'; sed iidem jurator' dicunt, quod præd' Rich. Fells tempore arrestationis præd' non ostendebat eidem Joh. Murray aliquod warrant' aut clavam suam, Anglice his Pace, sed dic', quod præd' Rich. Fells adtunc gessit & habuit ad dorsum ipsius Rich. Fells clav' sua', Anglice his Pace; ac quod null' offic' præd' qui vener' in cœt' dicti Rich. Fells aliqua tela, Anglice Weapons, adtunc habuer': Et præd' Joh. Murray circumspiciens circa se ac luctans, Anglice striving, cum dict' Ric' Fells, adtunc & ibidem dixit hiis person' qui in cœt' ipsius Joh. Murray ven', prout in Anglican' verbis sequit', viz. draw, draw, Rogues, super quo præd' Johan. Mackall al' Mackalley, & Johan. Engles al' English, adtunc & ibidem existen' in cœt', Anglice the Company, dict' Johan. Murray, glad' suos, Anglice their Rapiers, traxer', dictisq; Ric' Fells & Joh. Murray super terr' prostrat' existen', & eodem Ric' Fells suprajacent', Angl' lying uppermost, dictus Joh. Mackall al' Mackalley, cum glad' suo extract', Angl' his Rapier drawn, ad dict' Ric' Fells adtunc & ibidem cucurrit, ad præd' Joh. Murray ab arrestatione præd' recussand', & cum glad' suo prædict' R. Fells percussit & inforavit, dans eid' R. Fells in & sup' fini-

sinistram partem corporis, subter sinistram scapul', Anglice
the left Shoulder Blade, ipsius Ric' Fells plagam & vulnus
 mortale in indictamento præd' mentionat, de quo vulnere
 idem Ric' Fells ad tunc & ibidem, scil', in parochia, & Ward'
 ultim' præd' instanter obiit. Et ulterius jurator' præd' dicunt
 quod tempore interfectionis præd' Ric' Fells modo & forma
 præd', iidem Johan' Murray, & Johan' Engles, alias English,
 fuer' præsent' & auxiliant' eidem Joh' Mackall, alias Mack-
 alley, ad ipsum Ric' Fells modo & forma præd' interficiend';
 sed utrum super tota mater' præd' per jurator' præd' in form'
 præd' compert', interfectio præd' dicti Ric' Fells in forma
 præd' perpetrat' & fact' sit murdrum necne, jurator' præd' ig-
 norant, & inde petunt advisament' justic' & cur' hic; & si super
 tota mater' præd' videbitur justic' & cur' hic, quod præd' in-
 terfectio dicti Ric' Fells sit murdrum, tunc jurator' præd'
 dicunt super sacram' suum præd', quod præd' Johan' Murray,
 Johan' Mackalley, & Johan' Engles, sunt culpabiles, & quilibet
 eorum est culpabil' de murdro præd' Ric' Fells, modo &
 forma prout per indictament' præd' versus eos supponitur.
 Et quod ipsi tempore murdri præd' in forma præd' commissi-
 nul' habuer' bon' seu cattal' terr' aut tenementa, ad notic' jur'
 præd'; & si super tota mater' præd' in form' præd' compert' vi-
 debit' justic' & cur' hic, quod præd' interfectio præd' Ric'
 Fells in forma præd' perpetrat' non sit murdrum, tunc jur'
 præd' dicunt super sacram' suum præd', quod præd' Johan'
 Murray, Johan' Mackall, & Johan' Engles, non sunt culpabil',
 nec eorum aliquis est culpabil' de murdro præd' Ric' Fells,
 prout ipsi allegaver' nec ea occasione unquam se retraxer', aut
 eorum aliquis se retrax'; & si super tota mater' prædict' per
 jurator' prædict' in forma prædict' compert' videbitur
 justic' & cur' hic, quod interfectio prædict' dicti Ric' Fells,
 in forma præd' fact', sit felon' & homicid', tunc jurator' præd'
 dicunt super sacramentum suum præd' quod præd' J. Murray,
 Johan' Mackall, & Johan' Engles, sunt culpabiles, & quilibet
 eorum est culpabilis, de felon' & homicid' præd', & quod ip-
 si null' habent bona nec cattal' terr' aut tenementa. Et ul-
 terius jurator' præd' dicunt super sacramentum suum præd'
 quod præd' Archibald' Miller in dicto indictamento nominat'
 de felon' & murdro prædict' non est culpabil', nec ea occa-
 sione unquam se retraxit: Ideo consideratum est per
 curiam, quod prædict' Archibald' Miller eat inde quietus
 sine die, &c. Et quia curia hic de judicio suo de & super
 præmissis concernentibus prædictum Johannem Murray,

Johannem Mackall, & Johannem Engles, reddendo, nondum advisat', ideo dies inde dat' est præfat' Johan' Murray, Johanni Mackall, & Johanni Engles usque proxim' Session' gaolæ deliberationis prædict' pro civitat' prædict' tenend', sub custod' præfat' Vicec' interim commissi. salvo custodiend', de judicio suo inde audiendo, &c. Et quia Justic' prædict' inde nondum, &c.

K

Pasch.

Pasch. 9 Jacobi Regis.

Mackalley's Case, in Killing of a Serjeant of London.

1 Jones 198.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
Br. Jac. 279.
3 Bulstr. 206.
Poph. 208.

Cr. Car. 250.
Jenk. Cent. 291.

BY the King's Command all the Judges of *England* were ordered to meet together to resolve what the Law was, upon the said Record; and accordingly all the Judges of *England*, and Barons of the Exchequer met together the Beginning of *Hillary-Term* now last past, and heard Counsel learned upon this special Verdict, as well of the Prisoners, as of the King; that is to say, Serjeant *Harris* the younger, *Anthony Diot* and *Randall Crewe* of Counsel with the Prisoners; and *Telverton*, *Walters* and *Coventry* for the King. And the Matter was very well argued by Counsel on both Sides at two several Days in the same Term; and diverse Exceptions were taken to the Indictment, and to the Verdict also. First, against the Indictment five Exceptions were moved. 1. Because it appears, That the Arrest was tortious, and by Conseq. the Killing of the Serjeant could not be Murder, but Manslaughter, and they argued that the Arrest alledged in the Indictment was tortious, because it was made in the Night, that is to say, 18 *diem Nov. inter horas quintam & sextam post meridiem*, which appears to the Court to be in the Night, and the Night is a Time of rest and repose, and not to arrest any by his Body, for thereof would ensue (as *in hoc casu accidit*) Bloodshed; for the Officer and Minister of Justice can't have such Assistance, nor can the Peace be so well kept in the Night, that is to say, *in tenebris*, as in the Day, *in aperta luce*: And the Prisoner can't know the Officer or Minister of Justice in the Night; nor can the Prisoner so soon find Sureties for his Appearance

in the Night, and thereby avoid his Imprisonment, as he may in the Day: And they cited 11 H. 7. 5. a. that the Lord shall not distrain for his Rent or Services in the (a) Night. But it was answer'd by the Counsel with the K. and in the End resolved by all the Judges and Barons of the Excheq. that the Arrest (b) in the (c) Night is lawful, as well at the Sute of a Subject as at the K.'s Sute; for the Officer or Minister of Justice ought to arrest him when he can find him; for otherwise perhaps he will never arrest him, (*quia* (d) *qui male agit odit lucem*); and if the Officer does not arrest him when he finds him, and may arrest him, the Pl. shall have an Action upon his Case, and recover all his Loss in Damages; and it is like the Case of distress for Damage (e) Feasant, for which one may distrain in the Night; for otherwise perhaps he will never distrain them, for they may be taken or escape out, and then they can't be distrained, but in Case of Rent Service it is otherwise; for the Law intends that the Ten't will be all the Day attendant upon the Land to pay his Rent, but he is not compellable to attend in the Night. *Vide* 11 H. 7. 5. a. 10 E. 3. 21. b. (f) 12 E. 3. *Distress* 17. and no Inconvenience will ensue upon it; for altho' he can't see the Officer, yet when he hears him say, I arrest you in the K.'s Name, &c. he ought to obey him, and if the Officer has not a lawful Warrant, he shall have his Action of false (g) Imprisonment. And as to the finding of Sureties, the Law is, That he ought to remain in Prison till he finds Sureties, be it in the Day, or in the Night. But great Inconvenience will ensue on the other Side, if those who are indebted to others shall go at their Pleasure in the Night without danger of arrest, for then they will become Nightwalkers, and turn the Day into Night in despite of their Creditors, and as the Officer or Minister of Justice may by Force of a Warrant directed to him, arrest any at the K.'s Sute either for Felony or other Crime in the Night, so may he do at a Subject's Sute; for the K. has no more Prerogative as to Time to make an arrest, than a Subject; for the Arrest is to no other Intent than to bring the Party to Justice: And it appears by the Opinion of the Court in the K.'s Bench in *Semaigue's Case* in the 5 Part of my Reports, That the Sheriffs may arrest in the (b) Night, as well at the Sute of a Subject, as at the King's Sute. And in *Heydon's Case* in the 4 Part of my Reports it is resolved, That if one kills a (i) Watchman in Execution of his Office, it is Murder, and yet that is done in the Night; and if an Affray be made in the Night, and the Constable, or any other who comes to (k) Aid him to keep the Peace be killed, it is Murder; for when the Constable commands them in the King's Name, to keep

(a) 1 Rol. 672.
 Fitz. Avowry
 137.
 Br. distr. 101.
 Doct. & Stud.
 75. a.
 Co. Lit. 142. a.
 7 Co. 7. a.
 Milborn's Case.
 (b) Cr. Jac. 280.
 Jenk. Cent. 291.
 Hale's Pl. Cor.
 45.
 (c) Owen 53.
 (d) 8 Co. 37. b.
 (e) Co. Lit.
 142. a.
 Doct. & Stud.
 75. a.
 7 Co. 7. a.
 Milborn's Case.
 1 Rol. 672.
 Fitz Avowry
 137.
 Br. distr. 101.
 (f) 7 Co. 7. a.
 Milborn's Case.
 (g) Post. 69. b.
 (b) 5 Co. 92. b.
 (i) Hale's Pl.
 Cor. 45.
 Cr. Jac. 280.
 4 Co. 2. 4.
 Yong's Case.
 Pl. It. 6a. b.
 3 Inst. 52.
 (k) Jenk. Cent.
 291.
 Cr. Jac. 280.
 H. 11. 11. Cor.
 345.
 11. 57

the Peace, altho' they can't discern or know him to be a Constable, yet at their Peril they ought to obey him.

It was also resolved, That altho' in Truth between 5 and 6 of the Clock in *Novemb.* is Part of the Night, yet the (a) Court is not bound *ex Officio* to take Conusance of it, no more than in the Case of (b) Burglary, without these Words, *in nocte ejusdem diei*, or *Noctanter*.

2. It was objected, That *Sunday* is not *dies juridicus*, and therefore no arrest can be made thereon, but it is the Sabbath, and therefore thereon every one ought to abstain from secular Affairs for the better Worship and Service of God in Spirit and Truth. As to that it was answered and resolved, That no judicial Act ought to be done on that Day, but ministerial Acts may be lawfully executed on the (c) *Sunday*; for otherwise peradventure they can never be executed; and God permits Things of Necessity to be done that Day; and Christ says in the Gospel, *Bonum est benefacere in Sabbato*.

3. Another Exception was taken, because it is said in the Beginning of the Indictment, *in Curia dicti Dom' Reg' in computatorio suo, scituat' in parochia Sancti Michaelis, in Woodstreet London*, and doth not shew in what Ward the said Parish was, & *non allocatur*, for as it is held in 7 H. 6. 36. b. every (d) Ward in London is as an Hundred in a County, and every Parish in London is as a Town in an Hundred, and it is not necessary to declare in what Hundred a Town is, no more in what Ward a Parish is; but the same is commonly added, because there are divers Parishes in London of one and the same Name, and the Ward is added to make a Distinction of one Parish from another; wherefore it was resolved, That in the Case at Bar the Indictment was sufficient, notwithstanding the Omission (e) of the Ward, for it doth not appear to us that there is any other Parish of the Name, and this Parish is particularly described, *viz. in Parochia Sancti Michael' in Woodstreet London*: And there with agrees the Rule of the Book in 7 H. 6. 36. b. for Bill was awarded good in *Parochia Sancti Laurentii i Judaisino*, omitting the Ward.

The 4 Exception was, because it doth not appear in what Parish the Sheriff commanded *Fells* the Serjeant to arre the Defendant; and that was disallowed by all the Justices; for the Words of the Indictment are, *taliter in eadē Curia processit, fuit, &c.* and *eadem Curia* fully demonstrates that the Warrant was made at the same Court mentioned before; and that was expressly alledged to be held in *Parochia Sancti Michaelis &c.*

The 5 Exception was, because it doth not appear in what Parish the Sheriff commanded *Fells* the Serjeant to arre the Defendant; and that was disallowed by all the Justices; for the Words of the Indictment are, *taliter in eadē Curia processit, fuit, &c.* and *eadem Curia* fully demonstrates that the Warrant was made at the same Court mentioned before; and that was expressly alledged to be held in *Parochia Sancti Michaelis &c.*

(a) 1 Rol. 52.

(b) 1 Rol. 52.

(c) C- Jac. 280, 255.

Jenk. Cent. 291.

5 Co. 85 b.

1 Jones 156,

157.

Cawley 78.

8 Co 127 a

Dy. 752 pl. 17.

Hale's Pl. Cci.

45.

(d) Cr. El. 732.

(e) Jenk. Cent. 291.

5. It was excepted against the Indictment, viz. That the Precept was to arrest the Defendant, *si inventus foret infra libertates Civitatis præd'* and the Indictment is *quod in Parochia S. Martini Bowyer Rowe in warda de Farringdon infra Londinum præd'* the Serjeant arrested him, and so he has not pursued the Precept, for the Precept is, *infra libertates London*, and notwithstanding that, the Indictment was resolved to be good, for the said Parish and Ward in London shall be intended to be within the Liberties of London, for these Words (a) Liberties of London are more spacious than London, and include in them the City of London it self.

(a) Jenk Cent; 291.

And 9 Exceptions were taken to the Verdict. 1. That there is a material Variance betwixt the Indictment and the Verdict, for the Indictment supposes that *Piot* Sheriff of London upon a Plaint entred, made a Precept to the said *Fells* Serjeant at Mace to arrest the said *Murray* the Defendant; and by the Verdict it appears that there was not any such Precept made, but that by the Custom of London, after the Plaint entred, any Serjeant (b) *ex officio* at the Request of the Plaintiff may arrest the Defendant *absque aliquo præcepto ore tenus, vel aliter*, so that the Indictment being special, to make this Offence Murder by Construction of Law upon the special Matter without any Malice or Prepense, ought to be pursued, and proved in Evidence, which is not done in this Case, for the Jury have not found the said special Matter, but the contrary; and because the Jurors have not found the special Matter contained in the Indictment, but other Matter, Judgment can't be given against the Prisoners upon this Indictment. To which it was answered, and in the End resolved, That there was sufficient Matter in the Verdict pursuant to the Matter contained in the Indictment, upon which the Court ought to give Judgment of Death against the Prisoners, notwithstanding the said Variance, and that for 2 Reasons.

The Exceptions against the Verdict.

(b) 1 Rol 555.

1. Because the Warrant which the Serjeant had to arrest the Def. was but (c) Circumstance, which is not necessary to be precisely pursued in Evidence to be found by the Jury; but it is sufficient if the Substance of the Matter be found without any such precise regard to Circumstance: And therefore, if a Man is indicted, that he with a Dagger gave another a mortal Wound, upon which he died, and in (d) Evidence it is proved that he gave the Wound with a Sword, Rapier, Staff, or Bill, in that Case the Defendant ought to be found guilty, for the Substance of the Matter is, That the Party indicted has given him a mortal Wound, whereof he died, and

(c) Post. 112. a; 119. a. 3 Inst. 50.

(d) 2 Inst. 319. 3 Inst. 135. Hale's Pl. Cor 265.

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

the Circumstance of the Manner of the Weapon is not material in case of Indictment; and yet such Circumstance ought not to be omitted, but some Weapon ought to be mentioned in the Indictment. So if *A. B. and C.* are indicted for killing *J. S. (a)* and that *A.* struck him, and that the others were present, procuring, abetting, &c. and upon the Evidence it appears that *B.* struck, and that *A. and C.* were present, &c. in this Case the Indictment is not pursued in the Circumstance; and yet it is sufficient to maintain the Indictment, for the Evidence agrees with the Effect of the Indictment, and so the Variance from the Circumstance of the Indictment is not material; for it shall be adjudged in Law the Wound of *(b)* every one of them, and is as strongly the Act of the others, as if they all three had held the Weapon, &c. and had altogether struck the Deceased, and therewith agrees *Plow. Com. 98. a.* So if one is indicted of the Murder of another upon Malice prepense, and he is found guilty of Manslaughter, he shall have Judgment upon this Verdict, for the Killing is the Substance, and the Malice prepense the Manner of it; and when the Matter is found, Judgment shall be given thereupon, altho' the Manner is not precisely pursued; and therewith agrees, *Plow. Com. 101. b.* where it is said, *when the Substance of the Fact, and the Manner of the Fact, are put in Issue together, if the Jury find the Substance and not the Manner, Judgment shall be given for the Substance.* And I moved all the Judges and Barons, if in this Case of Killing of a Minister of *(c)* Justice in the Execution of his Office, the Indictment might have been *(d)* general, *sc.* that the Prisoners *felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua premeditata, &c. percusser'* without alledging any special Matter and I conceived that it might well be, for the Evidence would well maintain the Indictment, for as much as in this Case the Law implies Malice prepense. As if a *(e)* Thief, who offers to rob a true Man, kills him in resisting the Thief, it is Murder of Malice prepense: Or if one kills another without *(f)* Provocation, and without any Malice prepense, which can be proved, the Law adjudges it Murder, and implies Malice; for by the Law of God every one ought to be in Love and Charity with all Men, and therefore when he kills one without Provocation, the Law implies Malice: And in both these Cases they may be indicted generally that they killed of Malice prepense, for Malice implied by Law, given in Evidence is sufficient to maintain the general Indictment. So in the Case at Bar, in this Case of the Serjeant, the Indictment might have been *(g)* general, That he feloniously and of his Malice prepense killed the said *Felton* and the special Matter might well have been given in Evidence;

(a) Post. 112.

(b) 4 Co. 42. b.
11 Co. 5. b.
2 Inst. 138.
37 H. 8 Br.
Comm. 172.
1 Rol. Rep. 31.

(c) Jenk. Cert.

291
3 Inst. 52
Hale's Pl. Cor.
45
Cr. Jac. 280.
P. 282. 68. a.
Cr. Car. 183,
372, 358
(d) Cr. Jac. 280.
12 Co. 27

(e) Jenk. Cert.

271.
Hale's Pl. Cor.
45.
3 Inst. 52.
Cr. Car. 183.

(f) Jenk. Cert.

291.
3 Inst. 52.
Hale's Pl. Cor.
45.

(g) Cr. Jac. 280.

12 Co. 27

dence; *quod fuit concessum* by all the other Judges and Barons of the Exchequer. The 2 Reason was, because it is expressly alledged in the Indictment, That the said *John Mackalley, &c. eundem Rich'um Fells, &c. felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitata, &c. percussit & inforavit, &c.* so that beside the special Matter which implies Malice, it is expressly contained in the Indictm. that he feloniously and *ex malitia præcogitata* killed the said *Fells*, and then altho' the special Matter given in Evidence had varied in Substance from the special Matter contained in the Indictm. yet for as much as it was resolved that the Indictm. in this Case might be general, for this Cause the Evidence, altho' it doth not agree with the special Matter, yet it proves, that the Prisoners killed the said *Fells* of their Malice prepense: And so well maintains the Indictment. And that in the End was the Opinion of *all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer.*

2. Exception was taken to the Verdict, That the Custom found by the Jury, That after a Plaint entred, the Defend. might be arrested by his Body, was against Law, because the Def. ought to be first summoned before the precept in Nature of a *Capias* can issue, for his Body shall not be arrested if he has sufficient, *&c. & non allocatur*; for it appears by the Book in * 21 E. 4. 66. b. and by common Experience always daily used, that after a (a) Plaint entred, by the Custom of *London*, (which is established and confirmed by Parliam.) the Def. may be arrested. And in this Case three Points were resolved *by all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer*, 1. That altho' the Process be apparently (b) erroneous, that yet if the Minister of Justice in the Execution thereof be killed, it is Murder, for the Minister is not bound to dispute the Authority of the Court, which awards the Process, but his Office is to execute the Process: And therefore, if a (c) *Capias* in an Action of Debt be awarded against a Baron, or other Peer of the Realm, which is erroneous (because their (d) Body by Law is privileged in such Case) yet if the Officer be killed in Execution thereof, it is Murder. So if a *Capias* be awarded where a Distress ought to issue, and in Execution thereof the Officer is killed, it is Murder, for as the Sheriff, *&c.* when he is charged with an Escape shall not take Advantage of any Error in the Proceeding, so the Defendant when he kills the Sheriff, *&c.* shall not take Advantage of Error in the Process. 2. It was resolved, That if any Magistrate or Minister of Justice, in Execution of his Office, or in keeping of the Peace according to the Duty of his Office be killed, it is Murder, for their Contempt and Disobedience to the King, and to the Law, for it is *contra potestatem Regis & legis*, and therefore, if a Sheriff, Justice of Peace, chief Constable, Petit

* Postea 68. b.
 (a) 1 Rol. 555.
 Cro. Jac. 473.
 8 Co. 126. a.
 Jen7. Cent. 291.
 (b) Cr. Jac. 280.
 C. Car. 371.
 Je. k. Cent. 291.
 (c) 6 Co. 54. a.
 10 Co. 76. b.
 Latch. 223.
 2 Rol. Rep 493.
 494.
 Hal. Pl. Cro. 46.
 Jenk. Cent. 291.
 Cr. Jac. 280.
 Cr. Jac 3.
 Moor 767.
 : Bullstr. 65.
 (d) 6 Co. 52. b.
 91 o 49. a. 60. a.
 Cr A 3um. 106.
 Stile 222.
 2 Leon. 174.
 Hob. 61.
 (e) 8 Co. 112. a.
 Postea 119. a.
 Cr. Jac. 3.
 Moor 275. 276.
 2 Bullstr. 64, 65.
 G db. 403.
 Savil 63.
 Cr. El. 164, 165.
 2 Leon 85.
 Jenk Cent. 291.
 Hal. 1 Co. 45.
 3 Inst. 52.
 Cr. Jac. 280.
 C. Car. 183,
 374. 338.
 1 Jones 346.
 Anr. 67. b.

Constable, Watchman, or any other Minister of the King, or any who comes in their Aid be killed in doing of their Office, it is Murder for the Cause aforesaid: For when the Officer or K.'s Minister by Process of Law (be it erroneous or not) arrests one in the K.'s Name, or requires the Breakers of the Peace to keep the Peace in the K.'s Name, and they notwithstanding disobey the Arrest or Command in the K.'s Name, and kill the Officer, or the King's Minister, reason requires that this killing and slaying shall be an Offence in the highest Degree of any Offence of this Nature; and that is voluntary, felonious, and Murder of Malice prepense. And a Watchman by the Law may arrest a Nightwalker, 4 H. 7.

(a) Annot. a.
2 C. 117
Y. King's Case
3 Inst. 52
C. 12 250
Hartl. Cor. 15

2. a. and if a (a) Watchman arrests such a one, and he kills him, it is Murder. *Vide Heydon's Case in the 4 Part of my Reports f. 40 & 41. a.* And it is true, That the Life of a Man is much favoured in Law, but the Life of the Law itself (which protects all in Peace and Safety) ought to be more favoured, and the Execution of the Process of Law and of the Offices of Conservators of the Peace, is the Soul and Life of the Law, and the Means by which Justice is administered, and the Peace of the Realm kept. *Vide 2 R. 3. 21. b.* If the (b) Principal be erroneously attainted, the Accessory shall be put to answer, and shall not take Benefit for the Saving of his Life of the erroneous Proceeding against the Principal. 3. It was resolved, That the Officer or Minister of the Law in the Execution of his Office, if he be resisted or assaulted, is not bound to (c) fly to the Wall, &c. (as other Subjects are) for *Legis minister non tenetur in executione officii fugere, seu retrocedere.*

(b) Post. 119.
a. b.

(c) Jenk Cent
221
Hal Pl Cur. 41
3 Inst. 56.

2. It was objected, That the Def. ought not to have been arrested before the Plaint was entred of Record in the Court before the Sheriff. for this is in Truth the Court of Record where the Declaration and Pleading shall be. To that it was answered and resolved by all, That after the (d) Plaint entred in the Porter's Book, and before the Entry thereof in the Court before the Sheriff, the Def. may be arrested by the Custom of London; and therewith agrees the Book in (f) 21 E. 4. 66. b. in the Point. *Vide 9 E. 4. 48. b.*

(d) Jenk Cent.
201
1 R. 11 555.
Cr Jac. 273.

(e) 3 Co 121 a
(f) A 1 33

4. It was objected, That the said Arrest found by the Verdict was not lawful. for the Serjeant in this Case ought to have, when he arrested him, (g) shewed at whose Sure, out of what Court, for what Cause he made the Arrest, and in what Court it is returnable, to the Intent, that if it be for any Execution, he might pay the Money, and free his Body, and if it be upon mean Process either to agree with the Party to put in Bail according to the Law, and to know when he shall appear, as it is resolved in the Countess of Rutland's Case,

(g) Hal Pl Cur
28
C. Jac. 425,
2 Rel 277
6 Co 52
Jenk Cent. 297.

Case,

Case, in the 6 Part of my Reports f. 55. But in the Case at Bar the Serjeant said nothing, but *I arrest you in the King's Name, at the Suit of Mr. Radford*, and so the arrest not lawful, and by Consequence the Offence is not Murder. As to that it was answered and resolved, That it is true that it is held in the Countess of Rutland's Case, That the Sheriff, &c. or Serjeant ought upon the Arrest to shew at whose Suit, &c. But that is to be intended when the Party arrested submits himself to the Arrest, and not when the Party (as in this Case Murray did) makes Resistance and interrupts him, and before he could speak all his Words, he was by them mortally wounded and murdered, in which Case, the Prisoners shall not take Advantage of their own Wrong. It was also resolved, That if one knows that the Sheriff, &c. has Process to arrest him, and the Sheriff, &c. coming to arrest him, the Def. to prevent the Sheriff's arresting him, kills him with a Gun, or any other Engine, or Weapon, before any Arrest made, it is Murder: *a fortiori*, in the Case at Bar, when he knew by the said Words, that the Serjeant came to arrest him.

Cr. Jac. 485;
486.

5. Exception was taken, because it was not found by the Verdict, That the said Mackalley felonice percussit, &c. but percussit only, & quod iidem Johan' Murray, & Johan' English fuerunt presentes, auxiliantes, &c. and doth not say felonice; & non allocatur, for the Office of the Jury is to shew the Truth of the Fact, and to leave the Judgment of the Law to the Court; but they have well concluded, And if super tota materia præd' videbitur Justic' & Cur' hic quod præd' interfectio dict' Ric' Fells sit murdrum, tunc jurat' præd' dic' super sacramentum suum quod præd' Johan' Murray, Johan' Mackalley, & Johan' English sunt culpabiles, & quilibet eorum est culpabilis de murdro præd' Ric' Fells, modo & forma prout per Indictamentum præd' supponit', &c. And because the Judges and the Court have resolved upon the special Matter, that it is Murder, the Jury have found him guilty of the Murder contained in the Indictment.

Jenk. Cent. 291;

6. It was objected, That the Serjeant at the Time, nor before the Arrest, shewed the Prisoner his Mace; for thereby he is known to be the Minister of the Law, and from thence he has his Name, *sc. serviens ad clavam*; Et non allocatur for two Causes. 1. Because the Jury have found, That he was *serviens ad clavam dicti Vicecomitis, & juratus, & cognitus, & minister Cur'*; And a Bailiff sworn and known need not (altho' the Party demands it) shew his

Jenk. Cent. 291;
Hale's Pl. Cor.
46.

his Warrant, nor any other special Bailiff is not bound to shew his Warrant without demand of it, 8 E. 4. 14. a. 14 H. 7. 9. b. 21 H. 7. 23. a. and where the Books speak of a known Bailiff, it is not requisite that he be known to the Party who is to be arrested, but if he be commonly known it is sufficient; 2. If Notice was requisite, he gave sufficient Notice when he said, I (a) arrest you in the King's Name, &c. and the Party at his Peril ought to obey him; and if he has no lawful Warrant, he may have his Action of (b) false Imprisonment. So that in this Case without Question the Serjeant need not shew his Mace; and if they should be obliged to shew their Mace, it would be a Warning for the Party to be arrested to flee.

(a) Jenk. Cent. 291.

(b) Antea 66. a.

7. Another Exception was taken to the Verdict, because the Custom which gave the Serjeant Warrant to arrest, was not pursued; for the Custom is *Quod aliqua persona existens Serviens ad clavam ad requisitionem partis hujusmodi querelam sic levantis, &c. usa fuit arrestare*, which ought to be taken that the Plaintiff ought to be entred before the Request; but afterwards it is found that the Request was before the Plaintiff, and so the Custom not pursued; *Et non allocatur*. For by the Custom it is not proved, but that the Request may be as well before as after the Plaintiff entred, and so is the common Usage and Experience.

8. It was objected, That the Verdict was repugnant in itself, for first they find, that the Plaintiff was entred *de Recordo in Rot' Cur' computator' in his verbis, Die Sabbathi 17 die Novemb.* and afterwards they find, *quod intravit præd' in Rot' Cur' præd' facta fuit die Lunæ 19 die Nov. &c.* And the (c) Jury can't find any thing against the Record it self. *Vide 11 H. 6. 42. a. 9 H. 6. 37. 28 Aff. 34. 47 E. 3. 19. 11 H. 4. 26. 9 H. 7. 3. 13 H. 7. 14. 33 E. 3. Judgment 255. Dyer 32 Eliz. 147. &c.* And all this was affirmed for good Law. But that makes the Case stronger against the Prisoners, for now the Judges ought to judge upon a Plaintiff entred of Record in *Cur' Computator'*, the *Saturday* the 17 of *Novemb.* which was before the Arrest.

(c) 2 Co. 4. b.
2 Co. 30. b.
Dyer 32. pl. 7.
2 Rot. 691.

Jenk. Cent. 291.
2 Rot. 5554

9. Exception was taken to the Verdict, that the Entry of the Plaintiff was without Form, and so short and obscure, *quod opus est interpreti; Et non allocatur*. For it was found that it was according to the Custom of *London*; and is but a Remembrance to draw the Declaration at length afterwards in the Court of Pleas, which notwithstanding is by Custom sufficient to have the Defendant arrested. And afterwards at the Sessions of *Newgate* held the 5 Day of *May* after this Term, the Chief Justice openly declared the Resolution of all the

the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer, to the great Satisfaction and Contentment of all there present. And accordingly Judgment of Death was given against the said three Prisoners by the Recorder of *London*, in the Presence of the said two Chief Justices. And the said *Mack-alley* was executed with other Prisoners at *Tyburn*.

Trin.

Trin. 9 Jacobi.

In Camera Stellat'.

Richard Peacock's Case.

NOTA, This Term in the Star-chamber in the Case between Sir *George Reynel* Plaintiff, and *Richard Peacock* and others Defendants, where *J. H.* and another were Commissioners to examine *Peacock* upon Interrogatories drawn by the Plaintiff, and *Peacock* being examined, would have declared the whole Truth, which *J. H.* being a Commissioner chosen by the Plaintiff, would not suffer him to do, but held him strictly to the Interrogatories, so that the Truth could not appear. And that was held by the *Lord Chancellor, the two Chief Justices, Chief Baron, and the whole Court of Star-chamber*, a great Misdemeanor, for it is a murdering of the Truth and Right, as the Statute of *Exeter* speaks, *Et per quod Justitia Et veritas suffocantur* as it is said *in capite itineris*. And Commissioners to examine ought to be (a) indifferent, and by all Means to express the Truth, and they are not (b) strictly tied to the Words of the Interrogatories, but to every Thing also which necessarily ariseth thereupon for the Manifestation of the whole Truth concerning the Matter in Question. Also the said *J. H.* when he was in Examination went out of the Place to the Plaintiff, who was in another Room near to him, and had secret Conference with him. And it was held *per totam Curiam*, That a Commissioner ought not before Publication of the Witnesses (c) to discover to any of the Parties the Matter which any Witness has deposed, nor after he beginneth to examin upon the Interrogatories, to confer with the Party to take new Instructions to examine further than he knew before, and if he shall so do, these are great Misdemeanors, punishable by

(a) 4 Inst. 278.

(b) 4 Inst. 278.

(c) 4 Inst. 273.

by (a) Fine and Imprisonment. For if these shall be permitted, Perjury would in these Days abound; and for 'as much as in the Star-chamber and Exchequer-chamber the Courts proceed upon Examination of Witnesses, if the Truth should be by such Means suppressed, and Falsity certified in the Examinations, so the Innocent would be oftentimes punished, or the Guilty escape Punishment, and Justice and Right would be utterly subverted; for as it is commonly said, The Suppression of Truth, is the Oppression of the Innocent. And the Lord Chancellor said, That he heard in the Common Pleas, in the Time of Sir *James Dyer*, then Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, That it was resolved by the Court, that it was not a principal (b) Challenge to say, That one returned of a Jury was chosen (b) Commissioner by the other Party for Examination of Witnesses in the Court of Chancery; for every Commissioner is made and constituted by the (c) King, who is the Head of Justice, by his Commission under the Great Seal, and therefore he being Commissioner upon Record, is presumed in Law to be indifferent: But otherwise it is of an (d) Arbitrator, for he is created only by the Submission of the Parties themselves in the Country; and therefore it is a principal Challenge to say, That such a one returned of the Jury was an Arbitrator for the other Party; and therewith agree 7 *H. 7. 10. b.* 9 *E. 4. 46.* 15 *E. 4. 24.* 3 *H. 6. 24. b.* And the Court had so great Dislike of the Proceedings of the said *J. H.* that the Attorney General was ordered to prefer an Information against him for the said Misdemeanors, and in the mean Time he was put out of the Commission of Peace.

(a) Cr. Jac. 63.

(b) Co. Lit. 157 b. 2 Rol. 656.

(c) Cr. Jac. 65. Yelv. 62.

(d) Co. Lit. 156. a. 157. b. 2 Rol. 655. 656. Br. Challenge 7, 83, 156. 3 H. 6. 24 b. 9 E. 4. 47. a. Fitz. Challenge 16, 57.

Trin. 9 Jac. Regis.

Doctor Hussey's Case.

Co. Ent. 563.
nu. 7.

2 Brownl. 59, 91.

3 Bulst. 275.

Cr. Car. 594.

Hob. 93.

1 Rol. Rep. 445.

Cr. Jac. 413.

IN a Ravishment of Ward brought by *Francis Moor* Esq; according to the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 35.* against *James Hussey* Esq; and *Katharine* his Wife, *Robert Wakeman* Clark, *John Woodford*, and *Cutbert Clifford* of the Ravishment of *James Horniold*, Son and Heir of *Ralph Horniold* Esq; being within Age, The Defendants pleaded Not guilty, which Issue was tried at the Bar, *Mich. 8 Jac.* And the Plea began *Trinit. 7 Jac. Rot. 759.* and was tried in *absentia Walmsley propter egritudinem* and of *Coke* Chief Justice, then being in the Star-chamber. And the Jury found that the said *Katharina, Robertus & Johannes Woodford fuer' culpabiles de raptu & abductione præd' Johannis Horniold, prout præd' Franciscus superius vers. eos queritur, & assident damna, &c. 10 l. & custag' 10 s. Et ulterius Juratores præd' dicunt super sacramentum suum, quod præd' Johannes Horniold maritatus existit, quodq; idem Johannes tempore Maritagii illius fuit ætatis sexdecim annorum & amplius, & infra ætatem viginti & unius annorum quodq; Maritagium præd' Johannis Horniold valet juxta verum valorem ejusdem 800 l.* and that the said *James* (the Husband of the said *Katharine*) and *Cutbert* were Not guilty. And in Arrest of Judgment divers Points were moved and argued by the Serjeants at Bar in the Terms of *St. Mich. Hill.* and *Pasch.* And the principal Point which was argued by the Serjeants was, if a Feme Covert was within the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 35.* or not. And now this Term it was argued by the Justices; And it was argued by

by *Foster* and *Warburton*, that Judgment ought to be given as well against the Feme (a) Covert, as against the others who were found guilty, and their principal Reason was, because at the (b) Com. Law a Feme Covert was punishable for Ravishment of a Ward and shall be fined and imprison'd for it, and Damages shall be recovered against her, and levied upon her Husband, and after his Death upon the Wife her self; and the Stat. of *W. 2. c. (c) 35.* adds but a greater Penalty to the Value of the Marriage, Damages and Costs, and Imprisonment for 2 Years, and if the Defs. are not sufficient, *abjurent regnum vel habeant perpetuam prisonam*: So that it never was the Meaning of the Makers of the Act to exclude a Feme Covert out of the Purview thereof, who was punishable by Action of Tresp. at the (d) Com. Law, for which Offence also her Body at the Com. Law shall be imprisoned: And therefore they strongly held, that a Feme Covert was within the Stat. of * *Merton c. 6.* and within the Stat. of *W. 1. c. 20. de malefact. e) in parcis.* For a Feme Covert for these Offences was punishable by the Com. Law, and these Stat. add greater Punishment, and in another Manner than it was at the Com. Law. And they said, That a Feme Covert was within the Words of the Act; and it would be a great Mischief if any Construction should exempt her out of the Penalty of this Stat. (in such odious Cases as Ravishm. of Wards are.) And an Action upon the Stat. of forcible Entry upon the Stat. of (f) *8 H. 6.* lies against a Feme Covert, as the Book is in *36 H. 6. 22, 23.* So Waste lies against the Husband and Wife as it is held in *3 E. 3. 76.* So if a Feme Covert commits, (g) *Redisseisin*, she shall be punished in a *Redisseisin*, *9 H. 4. 5. b. F. N. B. 188.* So *Cessavit* lies against Husband and Wife, *4 E. 2. Cui in vita 22.* And many other Cases were put upon this Ground, wherefore they concluded that Judgm. should be given against all for the Value, Damages, and Costs, and that the Defs. *Capiantur.* And it was argued by the Chief Just. and *Walmesly* to the contrary, that the Pls. shall have (h) Judgment upon this Record against none of the Defs. And their Argument was divided into 4 Parts. 1. What Alteration the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 35.* has made. 2. If a Feme Covert be within the said Stat. 3. If the Verdict be sufficient or not against any of the Defs. 4. If Damages besides the Value are to be recovered in an Action of *Ravishment* grounded upon this Stat. As to the first, it was resolved by all, that at the Com. Law for Ravishm. of Ward, the Guardian might have had an Action of *Tresp.* in which the Pl. should recover Damages, and the Defs. should pay a Fine to the K. and should be imprisoned, until, &c. and that such Action lay against a (i) Feme Covert, as well as against a Feme Sole; And therefore where some Books say, that no Writ of *Ravishment of Ward* lay at the Com. Law, it is true, if it be meant of such *Ravishment of Ward*, which is in *Regist.* and in *F. N. B.* for it is grounded

(a) Cr. Jac. 413.
2 Brownl. 60,
91, 93.
1 Rol. Rep. 445.
2 Bulst. 322.
3 Bulst. 87.
Hob. 93, 101.
(b) Hob 93.
(c) 2 Inst. 437,
438.

(d) Hob. 93.

* 2 Inst. 90, 91.

(e) Hob. 95.
2 Inst. 198, 199.

(f) Hob. 95.
8 H. 6. c. 9.

(g) Hob. 96.
Co. Lit. 154. b.
Moor 373.
Fitz. Redisseisin
1.
Br. Redisseisin.

(h) Hob. 101.

(i) H. b. 93.

and

- and formed by the Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* but that in such Case the Guardian might have an Action of *(a) Trespass* is manifest in our Books, 29 *Aff. p. 35.* 29 *E. 3. 24. a. b. 3 E. 3. 3. 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. Damages 150. 12 H. 7. Kelw. 20. b. 21. a. F. N. B. 90. H. 140.* Then came the Stat. of *Merton c. 6.* by which it is enacted, (and greater Punishment than the Com. Law inflicted) *de hæredibus, &c. contra pacem vi abductis vel detentis seu maritatis, ita provis' est qd' quicumq; laicus inde convict' fuerit qd' puerum aliquem sic detinuerit, abduerit seu maritaverit, reddat perdati valorem Maritagii, & pro delicto corpus ejus capiatur ut imprisonetur, &c. Et hoc de hærede infra quatuor annos existente.* And by the Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* it is provided, *de pueris masculis seu femellis, quorum maritadium ad aliquem pertineat, raptis & abductis, si ille qui rapuit non habens Jus in maritagio, licet post modum restituat puerum non maritatum, vel de maritagio satisfecerit, puniatur tamen pro transgressione per prisonam duorum annorum, & si non restituerit, vel Hæredem post annos nobiles maritaverit, & de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit, abjuret regnum vel habeat perpetuam prisonam.*
- (a) Co. Lit. 137. a. Br. Tresp. 252. 3 E. 3. 2. b. 2 Inst. 50. Hob. 94
- (b) 2 Inst. 90. And this Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* has made 7 (b) Alterations. 1. The said Stat. of *Merton* did not extend to Heirs Females, for before the Age of (c) 14 Years the Male could not assent to Marriage, but the Heir Female at 12; and therefore it was taken that the Stat. of *Merton* did not (d) extend to an Heir Female: And therewith agrees the Book in 35 *H. 6. 55. a. b.* and the Act of *W. 2.* by express Words extends to both; for the Words are, *de pueris masculis seu femellis.* 2. The Stat. of *Mert.* doth not extend to any of the Clergy; for the Words are, *quicumq; laicus inde convictus fuerit, &c.* but the Stat. of *W. 2.* extends to all, (e) for the Words are, *si ille qui rapuerit jus non habens, without any Restraint.* 3. The Stat. of *Mert.* doth not extend as appears before, but when the Heir was ravish'd within 14 Years, within which Time the Heir Male can't consent to Marriage: But now the Stat. of *W. 2.* extends to a Ravishment *post annos nobiles.* 4. The Words of the Stat. of *Mert.* are, *vi abductis vel detentis,* the Words of the Stat. of *W. 2.* are *raptis seu detentis.* 5. The Action given by the Stat. of *Mert.* is the ancient Writ of *Right of Ward,* as it is held in 18 *E. 3. 52. a. b.* But the Stat. of *W. 2.* doth *actionem formatam in verbis (f) conceptis,* a new Action, the Form of which was not at the Com. Law, the Form of which appears specially by the Act. 6. In Process, for in a Writ of *Right* upon the Stat. of *Merton,* he shall have but the ancient Process at the Com. Law: But the Stat. of *W. 2.* gives a more speedy Process, and that the Death of the Pl. or Def. (g) shall not abate the Writ. 7. The Stat. of *W. 2.* gives greater Punish-
- (c) 2 Inst. 90. Co. Lit. 78. b.
- (d) 2 Inst. 439. Hob. 94. 95.
- (e) 2 Inst. 439.
- *Post. 73. b 74. l.
- (f) Co. Lit. 135. b.
- (g) 2 Brownl. 51. 94.

Punishment than the Statute of *Merton* doth, as appeareth by the Purview of both Acts. And these are the most material Alterations that the Statute of *W. 2.* has made.

As to the 2 Point; If a Feme-Covert be within the Purview of the Statute of *W. 2.* (a) The Parts thereof were considered, which as to this purpose stand upon four Parts.

1. *Si restituat puerum non maritatum, puniatur tamen pro transgressione per prisonam duorum annorum.* 2. If he marries the Infant, & *de maritagio satisfecerit, puniatur tamen, per prisonam ut supra.* 3. *Si non restituerit, & satisfacere non potuerit, abjuret regnum, vel habeat prisonam imperpetuum.* 4. *Si Hæredem post annos nobiles maritaverit & de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit abjuret regnum, &c. ut supra.* And this Case is within the last Clause, *sc.* That the

(b) Feme-Covert has married the Infant, and is not able to (c) satisfy, for a Feme-Covert has nothing during the Coverture wherewith she can satisfy, but is disabled by the Law to satisfy; and forasmuch as the Law has disabled a Feme-Covert to satisfy, the Law will not for this Disability inflict so great a Punishment as perpetual Banishment, or perpetual Imprisonment, *id est, perdere sive patriam, sive libertatem:*

Et (d) lex non cogit ad impossibilia, sed (e) impotentia excusat legem. 22 *E. 3. Coron.* 279. If an Appeal be brought against a (f) Feme-Covert, or a Monk, and they are acquitted, the Feme-Covert or Monk shall never have a Writ to enquire of the Abettors; for by general Words the Law will never enable any for his Benefit, whom the Law has disabled, *a fortiori* the general Law will never punish any so severely for not doing of that which the Law it self has disabled him to do. So upon the Statute of *Marlebridge*, *Non liceat hujusmodi feoffatos expellere*, If the Lord's (g) Villain be infeoffed, the Lord shall expell him, for the general Law will not do wrong, *sc.* to enable the Villain against the Lord. And divers other Cases were put to the same Effect; as the Case of Ecclesiastical Persons, in the 4 *Part of my Reports*, f. 15. and others. And (h) 7 *E. 3.*

11. a. b. was cited by the Ch. Justice, *sc.* That *W.* brought a Writ of *Ravishment of Ward* against the Master of the Hospital of *Burton S. Lazer*, and *Robert de Lee*, & *Richard de la Fosse*, Confreres of the same Hospital, and there *Trew Serjeant* for the Defendants said, Sir, This Writ is given by Statute, and of certain Form, and it ought to be when the Parties against whom the Writ is brought are such, who by the Law may have Right to have the Ward; but when the Writ it self supposes any named in the Writ to be such, That they can't have Right in the Ward, &c. wherefore this Writ can't be

(a) Westm. 2. cap. 35.
2 Brownl. 60, 91, 93.
Ci. Jac. 413.
1 Rol. Rep. 445.
2 Bulltr. 322.
Hob. 93. 101.
3 Bulltr. 57.
2 Init. 437,
458, 439.

(b) Hob. 93, 101.
Ci. Jac. 413.
(c) Ci. Jac. 413.

(d) Hob. 96.
Ci. Lit. 92. a.
21. b.
Hadr. 387.
(e) Hadr. 387.
1 Co. 98. a.
4 Co. 11. a.
5 Co. 22. a.
6 Co. 21. b. 68. a.
10 Co. 139. b.
Co. Lit. 29. a.
(f) Hob. 98.
2 Init. 385
11 Co. 77. b.
(g) 52 H. 3. c. 6.
2 Init. 111.

(h) Hob. 57

maintained. To which Sir *William Herle* Chief Justice answered, If the Freres in Aid of the Guardian took the Infant in saving the Right of the Hospital, the Freres have *quodam modo* Right, because they are of the Hospital; wherefore answer, out of which Case two Things were observed: 1. That every Man shall be intended sufficient to satisfy the Pl. if the Pl. does not pray that the Jury may enquire of his sufficiency; and therewith agree (a) 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. (b) *Damages* 130. But when it appears by the Writ it self, that any Def. is not able (having Disability by Law) to satisfy, there the Difference appears, because in the one Case it is apparent to the Court, and in the other not; and therefore this Case is special, and differs from the Reason of all the Cases which have been put: For Example, from the Case *de Malefactoribus in parvis*; for there the Purview is general; but the said Act is not so precisely penned as the Stat. of *W. 2.* is: For in Effect this Act has provided, that none shall be punished by this Act, but who by Possibility may satisfy at the Time of the Judgment, (for the Words are, *Et de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit*, and not to punish him by the Law for the Disability which the Law it self has made. And in (c) 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. *Damages* 130, &c. The Pl. prayed that the Jury might enquire of the Defendant's sufficiency, which would be to no purpose in this Case; because it appears to the Court, That a Feme-covert at the Time of the Judgm. is disabled by the Law: And therefore such Rule is to be given in this Case as Sir *Wm. Herle* gave in the like Case in 7 E. 3. 41. in a Writ of (d) *Mesne* brought against the Husband and Wife, they made default at the grand *Distress*, upon which the Pl. sued Proclamation, and now at this Day the Proclamation was testified, and the Husband and Wife were demanded, and appeared not; for which the Pl. prayed they might be forejudged: *Herle*; There is no Reason that the Wife should be forejudged of her Seigniory for the Default of her Husband, and especially by your Sute which you have brought, which is given by Statute, where you might have your Suit at the Common Law: And so in this Case the Plaintiff might have his Remedy at the Common Law, either by Action of *Trespas* against all who ravished, or a Writ *de valore Maritagii* against the Heir himself, and not upon this Statute, for the Goods or Lands of the Husband who is innocent, are not to be liable by this Act, for the Act has expressly provided, That for the Insufficiency of the Defendant he shall be exiled, &c. And therefore the Statute doth not charge the Husband in this Case, for the Statute is penal and personal to the Defen-

(a) Hob. 98, 99
Ant. 72. b 74. h.
(b) 2 Brownl.
92, 93.
Hob. 94, 95.

(c) Hob. 98, 99,
Ant. 72. b 74. b.

(d) Co. Lit.
100. a.

Defendant himself. And so for the Ravishment made by a Monk, his Sovereign shall not answer by Force of this Act. as to the Objection which was made, That he may have Judgment at the Common Law, *sc.* of Damages and Imprisonment, and then the Husband shall be charged with the Damages; that was utterly denied for two Reasons; the one that this Action is grounded upon the Statute of *W. 2. c. 35.* and such Writ in this Case is brought, and is there formed; and therefore he ought to have Judgment according to his Original, which is the Foundation of his Sute; and not to found his Writ upon the Statute, and to have Judgment at the Common Law, *nec e converso*, (*a*) *30 E. 3. 11. b.* The (a) *11 Co. 24 b.* being brought a *Prohibition* against the Prior of *Woburne*, that where the King had recovered in a *Quare Impedit*, the Defendant sent his Frere to *Rome* with an Appeal, and had there to avoid the Judgment, according to the Stat. of *Premunire*, and upon Not guilty pleaded, all this was done against the Def. and there for the King Judgment was prayed upon the Stat. newly made, *sc.* *27 E. 3. c. 1.* in case of *Premunire*, and it was adjudged he should not have because the (*b*) Judgment ought to be conformable to the Original; and this Sute was not brought according to the Stat. but by a Writ of *Prohibition* at the Com. Law. (*b*) *Doctrin. pl. 333.* and in *47 E. 3. 10. b.* in a (*c*) general Action of *Trespas* (*c*) *Bi. Trespas 38.* against Malefactors in Parks, the Defendants were found guilty, and the Pl. prayed Judgment of double Damages, three Years Imprisonment, and to find Sureties never more offend; and if they did not find Sureties, that they might forfeit the Realm. And altho' the Stat. gave no formed Action, yet forasmuch as the Action was brought generally at the Com. Law, he could not have Judgment upon the Stat. and therewith agree to *H. 6. 2. a.* and many other Books.

As to the 3 Point, It was held by the Chief Justice, and *Chamley*, that the (*d*) Verdict was insufficient; for this Action being founded upon the said Stat. and the Stat. extends only when the Ravisher marries the Infant, for the Words (*heredem post annos nobiles maritaverit*) so that inasmuch as the Stat. is so penal, it shall not be extended but only when the Ravisher marries him. And if after the Ravishment, the Infant of his own Head *post annos nobiles* marries himself, without the Procurement or Assent of the Ravisher, or if a Stranger afterwards marries him, in these Cases the first Ravisher (*e*) shall not be punished by this Statute. And in this Case the Jury were found generally *quod predictus Johannes Horniold legitimus existit, quodque idem Johannes tempore marriage illius fuit etatis sexdecim annorum & amplius & infra etatem viginti & unius annorum*, which

Verdict

Verdict is not only uncertain who procured him to be married, *sc.* the Ravisher or any Stranger, or the Plaintiff himself, or if the Ward of his own Head married himself, but is also uncertain in the Time when he was married, *sc.* before the Ravishment or after, and therefore in the Book of Entries 368. p. 11 & 12. 369. p. 17. *A. rapuit, & idem A. maritavit, &c. contra voluntatem* of the Plaintiff. *Vide 23 H. 6. Gard 118. 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 33 E. 3. Judgment 251. &c. acc.* And therefore it is well said in 30 E. 3. 29. b. Verdict ought to be such, that the Judges ought clearly to go to Judgment, and therefore. Verdicts ambiguous and doubtful are insufficient and void, as in 40 E. 3. 15. a. in Debt. (a) against Executors they plead fully administered and so nothing in their Hands: The Jury find that they have Assets in their Hands, and do not say to what Value and for this Uncertainty the Verdict was held insufficient and void.

(a) Co. Lit.
227. a.
Br. Enquest 4

As to 4 Point, altho' 16 E. 3. Damages 80. and some other Books are against it, That no (b) Damages shall be recovered; yet forasmuch as it is held in 17 E. 3. 57. b. 24 E. 3. 44. 24 E. 3. 46. 22 R. 2. Damages 130. 8 E. 3. 51. 27 H. 6. Gard 118. Pasch. 27 H. 6. Rot. 123. in the Book of Entries. f. 368. and diverse other Books with which common Experience agrees, It was resolved accordingly. And the Chief Justice vouched an ancient Reading upon the

(c) 2 Inst. 439.

Statute of W. 2. c. 35. That where the Statute says (c) *abjurer regnum vel habeat perpetuam prisonam* in the Disjunctive, That the Election shall be in the Court to give Judgment upon which of the said two Points the Judge will: And great reason, for it may be, the Disposition and Quality of the Defendant being considered, it would be dangerous to the State to banish him into foreign Countries.

Trin. II Jacobi Regis.

Combes's Case.

N Replevin by *William Atlee*, against *Daniel Banks* and *Thomas Osborn* of taking of his Cattle at *Harmonsworth*, in a Place called *Walnut-tree Close*, in the County of *Middlesex*, &c. Which Plea began *Trin. 8 Jac. Reg. Rot. 330*. Upon the Pleading, and Issue joined, and special Verdict given, the Case was such. *Thomas Combes* Copyholder in Fee of ten Acres of Pasture in *H.* of the Manor of *Harmonsworth* in the County of *Middlesex*, by his Deed 22 *Novemb. 5 E. 6.* constituted and ordained *William Combes* and *Stephen Erlie* two Copyhold Tenants of the same Manor his lawful Attornies, to surrender *vice & nomine suo* to the Lord of the said Manor, the said ten Acres of Pasture to the Use of *John Nicholas* and his Heirs, and afterwards at a Court held of the said Manor 8 *Julii anno 6 E. 6.* the said Attornies *tunc tenentes Dom' per copiam Rot' Cur' in eadem Cur' ostenderunt scriptum præd' gerens dat' prædict' 2 Nov' anno 5. supradicto, & iidem Willielmus & Stephanus autoritate eis per præd' literam Attornatus dat' in plena cur' sursum reddiderunt in manus Dom' præd' decem acras pasturæ ad opus & usum præd' Johannis Nicholas heredum & assignatorum suorum*, who was at the same Court admitted accordingly: And that within the said Manor there was not any Custom to surrender Copyhold Lands, &c. by Letter of Attorney, either in Court or out of Court. And if the said Surrender by Letter of Attorney of the said Lands held by Copy, &c. was good or not, was the Doubt which the Jury referred to the Con-

(s) 2 Rol. Rep. 323. 393, 394. Herl 24.
 God v. 389.
 1 Rol. 500.
 1 Leon 36.
 (b) Co. Lit. 59 a.
 1 Rol. 500.
 (c) 2 Bulst. 252.
 Doct. pi. 104.
 (d) Co. Lit. 110 b.
 2 Bulst. 186, 252. 253.
 (e) 2 Bulst. 186.
 B. N. C. 255.
 Br. Cust. 59.
 Dy. 4. pl. 19.
 (f) Doct. pi. 104, 105.
 1 Rol. 845.
 2 Bulst. 252.
 4 Co. 26. a.
 Cr. El. 103, 224. 225.
 1 Leon. 328.
 Popl. 185.
 Owen 13.
 Hoyt 101.
 Lit. Rep. 253.
 1 Jur. 229.
 Cr. Car. 233.
 Moor 272.
 (g) 2 Rol. Rep. 329, 303.
 1 Rol. 330.
 (h) 1 And. 28.
 1 Rol. 320.
 O B. n. 15.
 pl. 65.
 God v. 314 389.
 2 Rol. 330.
 Dy. 223 pl. 20.
 Beal in Ketw. 207. a.
 Beal. in Ash pl. 2.
 N. Beal. 12.
 pl. 10.
 1 Leon. 265.
 2 Rol. Rep. 223 324.
 (i) 1 R. 2. c. 1.
 (j) 27 H. 8. c. 10.
 Br. Feoffm. 43.
 (k) 9 H. 7. 26 a.
 God v. 314.
 Pr. Feoffment a. u. c. 2.
 2 Rol. Rep. 294.
 (m) Co. Lit. 52. b.

consideration of the Court. And this Case was argued at the Bar, in *Michaelmas, Hillary, and Easter-Term*, and in this Term, and in this it was also argued by the Justices at the Bench; and in this Case two Points were moved, 1. If a Surrender could be made by Force of the Letter of Attorney. 2. If the Attornies had pursued their Authority. As to the first it was unanimously agreed by all the Judges in their several Arguments, that the Surrender in the Case at Bar made by Letter of (a) Attorney, was good; and their Reason was, because every (b) Copyholder having a customary Estate of Inheritance, may *de communi jure*, without any particular Custom, surrender his Lands held by Copy in full Court, and therefore in pleading the Copyholder need not (c) alledge a Custom within the Manor to surrender in Court; for that which is the Usage *per totam Angliam*, is the (d) Common Law, as it is held in 34 H. 8. Br. Custom 59 & 54 H. 8. Dy. 54. *quod habetur (e) consuetudo inter Mercatores per totam Angliam, &c.* is no good manner of alledging a Custom, for that is the Common Law; and in the *Book of Entries, Tit. Tresp. Divisione Copyhold* l. f. 568. no Custom is alledged to enable a Copyholder to surrender in full Court, no more than that a Copyholder may make a (f) Lease for one Year; because that he may do by the general Custom of the Realm, which is the Common Law. *Vide Bratton lib. 2. c. 8.* Then if a Copyholder may surrender his Estate in Court by the general Custom of the Realm, which is the Common Law, from thence it follows that he may do it by Attorney, as a Thing incident by the Common Law: And that will more clearly appear if the Reason of such Things which a Man can't do by Attorney be well considered. And therefore if a Man has a bare Authority coupled with a Trust, as (g) Executors have to sell Land, they can't sell by Attorney; but if a Man has Authority, as absolute Owner of the Land, there he may do it by Attorney, as *Cestui que use* might after the Statute of (i) 1 R. 3. and before the Statute of (k) 27 H. 8. for *Cestui que use* had an absolute Authority to dispose of the Land at his Will, without any Confidence reposed in him, as appears in 11 *Eliz. Dyer* 285. and there a Judgment is cited in 25 H. 8. accordingly, against the Opinion of some Judges in 9 H. 7. (l) 24. But in the Case at Bar, the Copyholder has a customary Estate of Inheritance, and not an Authority or Power only. Also there is a (m) Difference betwixt a general absolute Power and Authority of an Owner of the Land, as aforesaid, and a particular Power and Authority (by him who has but a particular Interest,

to make Leases for Life or Years. And therefore if (a) *A.* be Tenant for Life, the Remainder in Tail, &c. and *A.* has Power to make Leases for 21 Years rendring the ancient Rent, &c. he can't make a Lease by Letter of Attorney by Force of his Power, because he has put a particular Power which is personal to him: And so was it resolved in the Case of the Lady *Gresham* at the Assises in *Suffolk* in *Quadragesim'* 24 *El.* by *Wray* and *Anderson* Chief Justices, Justices of Assise there. Also there are some Things personal, and so inseparably annexed to the Person of a Man, that he can't do them by another, as doing of (b) Homage and Fealty: So it is held in 33 *E.* 3. *Trespas* 253. the Lord may beat his Villain for Cause or without Cause, and the Villain shall not have any Remedy? but if the Lord commands another to beat his Villain without Cause, he shall have an Action of Battery against him who beats him in such Case. So if the Lord distrains the Cattle of his Tenant, altho' nothing be behind, the Tenant for the Respect and Duty which belong to the Lord, shall not have (c) *Trespas vi & armis* against him; but if the Lord (d) commands his Bailiff or Servant in such Case to distrain where nothing is behind, the Tenant shall have an Action of *Trespas vi & armis* against the Bailiff or Servant. 2 *H.* 4. 4. a. 11 *H.* 4. 78. b. 1 *H.* 6. 6. a. 9 *H.* 7. 14. a.

(a) 2 *Rol. Rep.* 393.
1 *Rol.* 330.
Palm. 436.

(b) 2 *Rol. Rep.* 393.
Co. Lit. 66. b.
68. a.

(c) 10 *E.* 4. 7. a.
Fitz. Office del Court 7.
Brook Office del Court 29.
11 *H.* 4. 78. b.
4 *Co.* 11. b.
2 *Inst.* 105.
Co. Lit. 127. a.
Stat. de Marleb. c. 3.
Plow. 66. b.
84. b. 85. a.
(d) 2 *Inst.* 105.
Br. Trespas 98.
(e) *Lit. sect* 169.
Co. Lit. 112. b.
(f) *Co. Lit.* 59. b.
1 *Rol.* 562.
(g) 1 *Rol.* 505.

(h) *Co. Lit.* 68. a.

(i) 1 *Rol.* 505.

(k) 1 *Rol.* 509,
501.

(l) *Sty.* 423.

Littleton in his Chapter of *Burgage* holds, That where in a Borough he who is seised of Lands in Fee may devise by Custom, there the Owner of such Land may devise that his (e) Executors shall sell, which they shall do as Attornies to him. 3 *E.* 3. *Coron.* 310. by the Custom of a Manor a Freehold will pass from one to another by Surrender in Court, against the (f) Will of the Lord, and where the Custom is such, the Tenant may do it by Attorney. *Vide* 14 *H.* 4. 1. a. by *Hankford*, & vide 19 *Aff.* p. 9.

And it was said, (g) as he to whose Use a Surrender is made may be admitted by Attorney, so a Copyholder may surrender by Attorney in full Court: And the Case of him to whose Use seems the stronger Case, because he who is to be admitted is to do Fealty, (h) which none can do Fealty but he who shall be admitted, and therefore in such Case the Ld. may refuse to admit him by Attorney; but (i) if he admits him by Attorney, it is good enough.

But *Hill.* 28. *Eliz.* in (k) *Chapman's* Case it was held in the King's Bench, That where the Custom of a Manor is, That the Copyholder out of Court may surrender into the Hands of the Lord of the Manor by the Hands of two customary Tenants, who in Effect are but Instruments or (l) Attornies of the Copyholder to take his Surrender, that in such Case the Copyholder by his Attorney

can't surrender into the Hands of the Lord by the Hands of two Copyhold Ten'ts; for in as much as the Surrender in such Case ought to be warranted by the Custom, the Surrend. without special Custom to warrant it by Attorney will not be good. Also that was upon the Matter by Attorney to make a Surrend. by others who are but Attornies, for that is not warranted by the (a) particular Custom of the Manor to make a Surrender out of Court. But in the Case at Bar the Com. Law, and no particular Custom warrants the Surrend. and therefore it may well be made according to the Rule and Reason of the Com. Law by Attorney. But it was resolv'd, That the Attorney ought to (b) pursue the Manner and Form of the Surrender in all Points according to the Custom, as the Copyholder himself ought to have done; as if the Surrend. by the Custom ought to be by the Rod, or by any other Thing, or in any other Manner, the Attorney ought to pursue it. And the *Ch. Just.* said, that the Style of a Copyholder imports 3 Things: 1 *Nomen*, his Name, 2 *Originem*, his Commencement: 3 *Titul'* his Assurance: His Name is Ten't by Copy of Court-Roll, for his (c) Name is not Ten't by Court-Roll, but by Copy of Court-Roll, who is the sole Ten't in Law that holds by Copy of any Record, Charter, Deed, or any other Thing, 2. His Commencement, *ad voluntatem domini*; for at the Beginning he was but Ten't at the Will of the Lord; 3. His Title or Assurance, *secundum consuetudinem Manerii*, for the Custom of the Manor has (d) fixed his Estate, and assured the Land to him so long as he doth his Services and Duties, and performs the Customs of the Manor. And therefore (e) *Danby* saith in 7 *E. 4. 19. a.* That by the Custom he is as well inheritable to have the Land, as Ten't to hold his Freehold by the Com. Law. And it was resolved that the Case was stronger, because the Let. of Attorney was made to those who were Ten'ts by Copy, &c. of the said Manor. But it was agreed, that where an (f) Infant at the Age of 15 Years may make a Feoffm. that he can't do it by (g) Attorney, because a Custom which enables a Person disabled by the Law, ought to be pursued, and an Infant can do nothing to pass any Thing out of him by Attorney: *Vide 11 H. 4. 33. a.* and it would be hard, if Men in (b) Prison, or Sick, or beyond the Sea, could not make Surrenders of their Lands held by Copy for Paym. of their Debts, or Preferment and Advancement of their Wives and Children, &c. *Nota* Reader, this is the first Case that I have known which was adjudged in this Point.

It was resolv'd, that when any has Authority, as Attorney, to do any Act, he ought to do it in his (i) Name who gives the Authority; for he appoints the Attorney to be in his Place, and to represent his Person; and therefore the Attorney can't do it in his own Name, nor as his proper Act, but in the Name, and as the Act of him who gives the Authority.

And

(a) Co. Lit. 59. 2.
1 Rol. 500.

(b) 1 Rol. 501.

11 Lit. sect. 75.
Co. Lit. 62. 2.

(d) Hétl. 7.

(e) Lit. sect. 77.
Co. Lit. 61. 2.
4 Co. 22. 2.

(f) Doct. and
Stud. 21. a.
1 Rol. 567.
5 H. 7. 31. a.
41. a.

11 H. 4. 33. a.
E. 7. C. 9. 11.
Br. Custom 15.

(g) 8 Co. 25. 2.
(h) 1 Leon. 36.

(i) 1 Rol. 330,
501.

11 H. 7. 289.
Moar 70, 71.

And where it was objected, That in the Case at Bar That the Attornies have made the Surrender in their own Names; for the Entry is *Quod idem Willielmus, & Stephanus, &c. sursum reddiderunt, &c.* It was answered and resolved *per totam curiam*, that they have well pursued their Authority; for first they shewed their Letter of Attorney, and then they (a) *authoritate eis per præd' Litem Attornat' dat' sursum reddiderunt, &c.* which is as much as to say, as if they had said, We as Attornies of *Thomas Combes* surrender, &c. and both these Ways are sufficient; as he who has a Letter of Attorney to deliver Seisin saith, I as Attorney to *J. S.* deliver you Seisin; Or I by Force of a Letter of Attorney deliver you Seisin; and all that is well done, and a good Pursuance of his Authority: but if Attornies have Power by Writing to make Leases by Indenture for Years, &c. they can't make Indentures in their own Names, but in the Name of him who gives them Warrant. But if a Man by his Will in Writing devises that his Executors shall sell his Land, and dies, there the Executors in their own (b) Name may sell the Land for Necessity, because he who gives them Authority by his Will (which takes Effect after his Death) is dead; yet in such Case the Vendee is in by the Devisor.

(a) 1 Rol. 501.

(b) 1 Rol. 330.
Dy 251. pl. 89.

Mich.